



NORTH DAKOTA
Farmers Union

2020 Program of Policy and Action



2020 NDFU POLICY AND ACTION

AS ADOPTED BY DELEGATES AT THE
93RD ANNUAL CONVENTION

DECEMBER 13-14, 2019

BISMARCK EVENT CENTER, BISMARCK, ND

PREAMBLE	FARMERS UNION POLICY STATEMENT	1
SECTION I	AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS FOR THE FAMILY FARM ...	2
SECTION II	INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AND THE FAMILY FARM.....	18
SECTION III	LIVESTOCK DEVELOPMENT AND THE FAMILY FARM	22
SECTION IV	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE FAMILY FARM	28
SECTION V	COOPERATIVES AND THE FAMILY FARM	32
SECTION VI	LAND RESOURCES FOR THE FAMILY FARM ...	37
SECTION VII	NATURAL RESOURCES AND THE FAMILY FARM.....	43
SECTION VIII	ENERGY AND THE FAMILY FARM	54
SECTION IX	ECONOMIC POLICY AND THE FAMILY FARM ...	60
SECTION X	TECHNOLOGY AND THE FAMILY FARM	70
SECTION XI	SOCIAL POLICY AND THE FAMILY FARM..	72
SECTION XII	OUR FAMILY FARM ORGANIZATION..	82
	GLOSSARY ...	87
	INDEX	89

North Dakota Farmers Union Mission Statement:

*Guided by our cooperative, legislative and educational goals,
North Dakota Farmers Union is a grassroots organization committed to the advancement
of family farm and ranch agriculture and quality of life for people everywhere through
member advocacy, educational programs, cooperative initiatives and insurance services.*

1. **PREAMBLE**

2.

3. The basic aims of Farmers Union are based upon our understanding of God and nature. We believe in this
4. nation, which holds the greatest hope for eternal freedom for humanity everywhere.

5.

6. Guided by our cooperative, legislative and educational goals, as illustrated by the Farmers Union triangle,
7. we are dedicated to the advancement of the profession of family farm agriculture and to providing a quality
8. of life for people everywhere.

9.

10. We, the members of North Dakota Farmers Union (NDFU), are committed to providing effective grassroots
11. leadership in rural America and to significantly increase net farm income, improve the quality of rural life and
12. increase North Dakota's rural population so that family farmers and rural communities can thrive and prosper.

13.

14. We reaffirm our convictions of the truth and rightness of the ideals expressed in the Declaration of Indepen-
15. dence, the Constitution of the United States and its Bill of Rights.

16.

17. Only the family farm system of agricultural production can provide the opportunities of individual enterprise
18. to all farm families in our society. No other system can achieve the economic and social stability, the soil and
19. environmental stewardship and the production efficiency of the family farm.

20.

21. Ownership, operation and management of a farm unit should be vested within the family who farms and
22. makes a livelihood from that farm unit. Policies which encourage the separation of ownership, operation or
23. management of farm units are contrary to the interests of family farmers.

24.

25. State and federal programs must be targeted to benefit and protect the family farm. These policies can be
26. initiated through persistent and consistent efforts by family farmers working in solidarity with other sectors
27. of our society.

28.

29. The combined objectives set forth in this Policy Statement are adopted for the purpose of firmly re-establishing
30. the family farm structure as the primary system for agricultural production, rural and urban stability, national
31. prosperity, the preservation of human and natural resources and the dignity of the individual and the family.

32.

33.

34.

35.

36.

37.

38.

39.

40.

41.

42.

43.

44.

1. **SECTION I. AGRICULTURAL PROGRAM FOR THE FAMILY FARM**

2.

3. The owner-operated family farm and ranch is the keystone of a free, progressive, democratic society and a
4. strong rural America; and is the basis of a secure and stable national food security system.

5.

6. Family farmers are the critical element in a dynamic system of communities and families that make up rural
7. America. A family farm is an agricultural unit of land and other capital investments operated by a farm oper-
8. ator and his or her family who provide the management, take the economic risk, are good stewards of the
9. land, do most of the work required to operate the unit and depend on farming or ranching for a significant
10. portion of their living expenses.

11.

12. **1. NATIONAL FOOD AND FIBER POLICY**

13. Farm policy must be based on the following:

14.

15. A. Our nation’s agricultural policy must be directed away from the present course of industrialization
16. and consolidation and toward an economic system that provides citizens the opportunity to own,
17. control and work their own land, define their own destinies and remain contributing members of
18. their communities and country. It is essential that the social and economic impact of any new farm
19. program on rural communities be determined before proceeding.

20.

21. B. National farm policy must ensure that control of agriculture is vested with the family farm and reverse
22. the decline in the number of family farms. It should foster a fair and competitive environment that
23. allows farmers and ranchers to increase their net farm income, improve the quality of rural life, and
24. continue to provide a safe, reliable supply of food, fiber and fuel to this country and the world.

25.

26. C. We adamantly oppose stripping the nutrition title from the farm bill. It is about connecting the people
27. who grow food to those who eat food – an obvious educational tie that needs to stay in place for
28. any agriculturally driven state such as North Dakota. Further, we are called to support our neighbors
29. who are hungry, many of whom are in rural communities as well as urban. Keeping the nutrition title
30. in the farm bill helps connect the dots in the farm-to-table story so consumers, taxpayers and the
31. hungry understand the importance of a strong agricultural economy.

32.

33. **2. FARM POLICY OBJECTIVES**

34. Farm policy should ensure that family farmers and ranchers can secure net farm income equivalent to
35. families in other sectors of our national economy. It should provide price protection, provide risk man-
36. agement, be targeted toward family farmers, contain stock control mechanisms that do not push stocks
37. onto the market at the point when prices are the lowest, and ensure competition in the marketplace.

38.

39. A. Specific Federal Farm Policy Components

40.

41. (1) We support planting flexibility.

42.

43. (2) We support building in accountability to reduce government costs and reduce bureaucracy.

44.

1. (3) The farm bill should include a weather-related disaster provision in the program. There should
2. not be cross-compliance of insurance coverage for raising commodity crops and production
3. livestock in order to receive disaster payments. Ranching and grain farming are separate en-
4. terprises and should be treated as such.
5.
6. (4) Farmers and ranchers raising new crops on which they have no production history should have
7. special consideration if disaster assistance is based on crop insurance losses because they
8. have to use T-Yields until they establish proven yields.
9.
10. (5) We support a safety net that is countercyclical and indexed to current production costs.
11.
12. (6) We support directed program benefits, or targeting support to the production levels of family
13. farmers.
14.
15. Targeting would reduce government costs, further the sustainability of family farms and rural
16. communities and counter further consolidation.
17.
18. (7) We believe realistic and meaningful payment limits need to be implemented. This means:
19.
20. (a) The definition of a person who is actively engaged in production agriculture needs to be
21. strengthened to require active personal management and active personal labor in the actual
22. farming operation.
23.
24. (b) That payments should be transparent and directly attributable to a person who meets the
25. criteria of actively engaged.
26.
27. (c) We urge the Secretary of Agriculture to use their authority to prevent farm program benefits
28. from going to persons not actively engaged in producing agricultural products.
29.
30. (8) We oppose artificial subdivisions of farms in order to avoid payment limitations.
31.
32. (9) We oppose farm program payments that are decoupled from production.
33.
34. (a) We are in favor of farm program payments that mirror or match any current production.
35.
36. (10) We believe all producers should have an opportunity to update the number of base acres on
37. their cropland to reflect a true representation of the number of acres farmed and current crops
38. being raised versus the current base acres which reflect decisions made decades ago. All
39. cropland acres should have the same amount of base acreage, whether they are currently in
40. crop production, enrolled in CRP or used to grow specialty crops including fruits and vegetables,
41. etc.
42.
43. (11) We support the removal of marketing loan caps and the upward equalization of commodity
44. marketing loan rates, based on the historic price relationship between commodities and equal

1. to USDA's cost of production. We support a flexible marketing loan period.
- 2.
3. (12) We support establishing a revolving, two-year, farmer-owned reserve of commodities to provide
4. an adequate supply of raw materials for use as emergency food or renewable energy.
- 5.
6. (13) We support a good stewardship program that rewards farmers for following conservation prac-
7. tices.
- 8.
9. (14) We support giving the Secretary of Agriculture discretionary authority to institute a short-term
10. inventory management program.
- 11.
12. (15) Because of the current "free" trade environment, we support an international grains agreement
13. to manage supply among exporters of commodities. This is necessary to address world sup-
14. ply and to avoid making the United States a magnet for imports. In addition, we support the
15. establishment of an international food security reserve.
- 16.
17. (16) We support provisions in a farm bill for restoring competition in the marketplace and reviewing
18. the impact of agribusiness mergers and consolidations on farmers and rural communities.
- 19.
20. (17) We support granting the Secretary of Agriculture the authority to establish an optional paid land
21. diversion program that pays farmers for diverting a percentage of a farm's tillable acreage from
22. production.
- 23.
24. (18) Today's global agricultural economy demands that federal farm policy address issues of trade
25. by ensuring that American producers have the tools necessary to compete in a global market.
- 26.
27. (19) Farm policy should not be developed for multinational corporations, processors, exporters,
28. integrated livestock producers or other similar firms that profit from low commodity prices.
- 29.
30. (20) Attempts to reduce funding through budget reconciliation, diversion of funds to other programs
31. or other program cuts should be prohibited through the life of the farm bill title.
- 32.
33. (21) Farmers and ranchers are tasked with feeding a growing population while also being good
34. stewards of the land. Meanwhile, they continue to be hit with government regulations which
35. increase the cost of doing business with no way to pass on those cost increases. We believe
36. family farmers and ranchers should be compensated for any upgrades, maintenance, and work
37. they do which is specifically required in order to comply with government standards.

38.

39. B. National Marketing Agency

40. The authority of the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) should be expanded to serve as a

41. marketing agency to contract for the export or import of designated commodities and have a board

42. composed of operating farmers elected by farmer producers of those commodities regulated.

- 43.
44. (1) It should develop barter agreements, especially with less developed nations.

1. (2) It should enter into long-term agreements and contracts with other nations for the sale of U.S.
2. agricultural exports.
3.

4. C. National Food Security Reserve

5. National food security reserves must be established for storable commodities to ensure a 45 to 60-
6. day supply to meet domestic and export requirements.
7.

8. (1) These reserves are to be carried on the farm or in the country elevator.
9.

10. (2) The program should include provisions for continual rotation on a first-in, first-out basis. The
11. release price should be set at a level to ensure that the reserve does not depress the market
12. price.
13.

14. (3) The cost of storage is to be determined by the normal charge of storage set by trade practices.
15. Storage payments to producers should reflect the actual storage costs in publicly licensed
16. warehouses.
17.

18. (4) When CCC does not complete grain settlements with elevators and warehouses within 90
19. days, interest should be paid from the first day.
20.

21. D. Sugar

22. (1) Effective price support programs to provide security for domestic producers of sugar must be
23. continued. We oppose a federal price cap on sugar.
24.

25. (2) The farm bill should contain provisions for a realistic import quota of foreign sugar and protec-
26. tion from the dumping of subsidized foreign sugar on the domestic market.
27.

28. (3) USDA should administer the sugar program at no cost to taxpayers. This should prevent any
29. sugar forfeiture to the government and avoid farm program costs.
30.

31. **3. VALUE-ADDED AGRICULTURE**

32. A. We believe family farmers and ranchers will continue to be impacted by low commodity markets,
33. which leaves them at financial risk. Global competition and international trade rules that favor finished
34. products over raw commodities will also keep profit potential low on the farm. Given these realities,
35. value-added agriculture remains an important tool for producers to gain a more substantial share
36. of the food dollar profits. NDFU will continue to be a leader in value-added agriculture projects and
37. promote opportunities that have the potential to diversify farm and ranch income.
38.

39. B. We support the continuing development of fertilizer plants in North Dakota.
40.

41. C. We urge the North Dakota Legislature to consider studying the feasibility of a state-owned fertilizer
42. plant.
43.

44. D. We support the development of a soybean crush plant in North Dakota.

1. E. We support the research, education and growing of hemp in North Dakota. We encourage local hemp
2. processing operations, eligibility for crop insurance coverage and farm loan program availability.
3.

4. **4. SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE**

5. We believe that only the family farm system of agricultural production can truly be sustainable. Sustainable
6. agriculture integrates three main goals – environmental health, economic profitability and viability, and
7. social and economic equity. We believe farmers engaged in sustainable agriculture set out to protect
8. the land, improve their quality of life and enhance the communities in which they live.
9.

10. A. We define “sustainable” as relating to or being a method of harvesting or using a resource so that
11. the resource is not depleted or permanently damaged.
12.

13. **5. OUR CHANGING WORLD**

14. We believe that climate change is a fact based reality supported by scientific research and as such
15. will have an increasing effect on agriculture in the future. We support policies to improve agricultural
16. sustainability and aid in mitigating the negative effects of climate change.
17.

18. A. We urge USDA to work with NFU, other farm organizations and commodity groups to establish
19. a carbon footprint for agriculture. It is imperative that this footprint be established by the ag industry
20. and not be developed by those not associated with agriculture.
21.

22. (1) Carbon sequestration is an innovative way to enhance income for producers and protect our
23. environment. Therefore, the trading of carbon credits and the potential for inclusion of carbon
24. sequestration as an agricultural conservation practice for green payments should be continued
25. and supported.
26.

27. (2) We urge carbon sequestration not be biased toward a single practice, such as no-till, but instead
28. encompass all agricultural practices, including grazing lands, energy feedstock production, or-
29. ganic cropping, wood lots, the Conservation Reserve Program, and other proven conservation
30. methods.
31.

32. (3) We support a national mandatory carbon emission cap and trade system to reduce non-farm
33. greenhouse gas emissions, if agricultural offsets are recognized and USDA is in charge of the
34. agricultural carbon credit program. Additionally, we urge the development of an exchange sys-
35. tem to allow for the financial compensation of farmers and ranchers for their environmentally
36. sound practices.
37.

38. B. We oppose policies and consumer education that disproportionately harm American agriculture.
39.

40. **6. FOOD SAFETY**

41. We advocate for a food safety system that focuses on prevention of, not reaction to, food-borne illness
42. outbreaks. We urge Congress and federal and state agencies to consider the following to ensure that
43. such a system will grow consumer confidence in the food supply, while not sacrificing family farm agri-
44. culture, by including the following:

1. A. Recognize unique circumstances of small- and medium-sized family farms and allow them flexibility
2. in how best to meet food safety standards.
- 3.
4. B. Balance food safety goals with the goals of encouraging food hubs, local foods, and community
5. supported agriculture projects.
- 6.
7. C. Provide standards for manure use and water and soil testing that are consistent with typical agri-
8. culture production methods. At a maximum, the requirements should be no more strict than those
9. imposed by the National Organic Program.
- 10.
11. D. Create standards that give primacy for implementation and flexibility to the states.
- 12.

13. **7. ORGANIC POLICY**

14. We recognize the growing importance of organic family farming. Organic farming is a management-

15. intensive technology, not merely a list of acceptable or prohibited materials, designed to achieve a

16. balance in the agricultural and livestock system similar to that found in natural systems.

17.

18. We support:

19. A. The enforcement and monitoring of the national organic standards promulgated by USDA;
- 20.
21. B. Maintaining and protecting the integrity of organic labeling;
- 22.
23. C. Labeling standards encouraging the sale of organic products while not limiting opportunities to
24. market other natural or sustainably produced food products;
- 25.
26. D. Ensuring accreditation and certification costs do not discriminate against small producers;
- 27.
28. E. Requiring USDA to maintain the role of the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) as the
29. official source of developing policies and procedures to interpret and implement the federal organic
30. standards;
- 31.
32. F. Maintaining organic livestock production standards that are uniform and account for feeding and
33. animal health care practices for continuous or transitional organic management;
- 34.
35. G. The control of noxious weeds before they go to seed;
- 36.
37. H. The National Organic Program (NOP).
- 38.

39. **8. MARKET CONCENTRATION**

40. We are opposed to continued concentration in marketing and processing of all agricultural products.

41. We believe the federal antitrust laws must be strengthened and vigorously enforced to preserve the

42. future of the family farm.

43.

44. A. Agencies with jurisdiction should be provided adequate funding and staff to vigorously prosecute

1. the violators of antitrust laws.
- 2.
3. B. We oppose the vertical and horizontal integration of corporations and cooperatives that create unfair
4. competition with family farm operations and local cooperatives.
- 5.
6. C. The use of contracting in crop and livestock production is increasing. In order to protect farmers and
7. ranchers from unfair contracts and other anti-competitive practices, we support legislation creating
8. a contract producer's bill of rights.
- 9.
10. D. We support the funding and enforcement of Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Adminis-
11. tration (GIPSA) proposed rules.
- 12.

13. **9. CROP INSURANCE**

14. A. In order to adequately cover a farmer's risks, we call for the continuation, improvement and afford-
15. ability of all crop insurance and coverage on all North Dakota crops. We support maintaining the
16. current or higher subsidy levels for crop insurance.
- 17.
18. B. We call for crop insurance reforms that protect producers against quality losses, including protein
19. discounts, yield reductions and make coverages available up to the cost of production. The effects of
20. quality losses and multi-year claims on production histories must be minimized so that a producer's
21. coverage is not diminished.
- 22.
23. (1) We support development of affordable supplemental insurance programs to protect producers
24. in the event of natural disasters.
- 25.
26. 2) We support a policy which covers a certain dollar amount per acre such as hail coverage.
- 27.
28. (3) The grading of durum for claim purposes should be by durum standards, not spring wheat
29. standards.
- 30.
31. (4) Pulse crops have become a major part of the crop rotation for producers to aid them in con-
32. trolling weeds, diseases and fertilizer needs. Therefore, we support the Northern Pulse Growers
33. Association in their effort to improve the rotational restrictions for broadleaf crops now being
34. used by the Federal Risk Management Agency.
- 35.
36. (5) That RMA recognize the increase in use of cover crops and modify their rules so as not to
37. disqualify a grower from crop insurance if cover crop is seeded before physiological maturity.
- 38.
39. (6) That RMA recognize the benefits of planting specific cash crops into living cover crops and not
40. reduce a farmer's ability to obtain insurance for that crop.
- 41.
42. C. We recommend that the rules for crop insurance, once established, should not be changed during that
43. crop year.
- 44.

1. D. We propose permanent disaster protection in the form of increased subsidies of higher levels of
2. crop insurance.
- 3.
4. E. We call for the RMA to offer coverage for both non-GMO and organic crops based on both current
5. non-GMO and organic prices and recognize and accommodate the unique production and actuarial
6. experience of producers of certified organic commodities.
- 7.
8. F. We recommend that the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation amend and change their policy lan-
9. guage to eliminate their definition of “fire coverage.” The definition should include “any non-arson”
10. fire and not “natural occurring,” as is the present definition. Additionally, a yield loss caused by fire
11. should not impact a producer’s yield history and the producer should be allowed to use that farm’s
12. yield average for future crop insurance and farm program calculations.
- 13.
14. G. In order to protect beginning and family farmers and ranchers, we support limiting the overall premium
15. subsidy an individual farmer can receive on federal crop insurance.
- 16.
17. H. We recommend that crop insurance premiums be due December 1st or the date of the last settle-
18. ment, whichever is later.
- 19.
20. I. We oppose crop insurance eligibility being coupled with conservation requirements. The implemen-
21. tation of mandatory conservation cross-compliance for subsidized federal crop insurance amplifies
22. the everyday challenges farmers face in North Dakota’s unique and agriculturally productive part
23. of the country. Prairie Pothole Region farmers need carve-out provisions that put them on a level
24. playing field with other American farmers (many of whom enjoy the benefits of historical draining
25. practices).
- 26.
27. J. Prevented planting provisions in insurance policies can provide valuable coverage when extreme
28. weather conditions prevent expected plantings and harvest. To maintain the integrity of the program
29. and avoid abuse, producers should make planting decisions based on agronomically sound and
30. well documented crop management practices. We encourage the RMA to develop guidelines that
31. are objective rather than subjective.
- 32.
33. K. We urge RMA to develop risk management tools for livestock producers that are subsidized in a
34. way similar to crop insurance.
- 35.
36. L. NDFU supports the study of the use of the Inventory Management Soil Enhancement Tool (IMSET).
- 37.
38. M. We support revisions in crop insurance, possibly by a special provision statement, which would
39. allow farmers to exempt saline acres from crop insurance coverage at acreage reporting time. This
40. would permit a “conservation planting” of salt tolerant crops, such as barley, sunflower, oats, rye or
41. others to be utilized as a remediation crop without requiring it to be insured.
- 42.

43. **10. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

44. A. The Department of Agriculture must be retained and revised to support the American family farmer.

1. We support the efforts to reorganize USDA to a more efficient and farmer-friendly organization.
- 2.
3. B. We urge USDA to better publicize programs that are directly beneficial to agricultural producers.
- 4.
5. C. We favor elected farmer committees to administer farm programs and are opposed to any political
6. appointees to these committees. We strongly recommend that producer rights be protected in USDA.
7. USDA shall establish an appeals system based on fairness and equality.
- 8.
9. D. We urge the United States to adopt a law similar to the present Canadian law, restricting transpor-
10. tation of potential sources of noxious weeds from crossing international boundaries.
- 11.
12. E. We urge USDA to distinguish between imported and American-grown agricultural products in U.S.
13. production numbers.
- 14.
15. F. We propose that federal and state agencies coordinate and share information in order to avoid du-
16. plication of services and reduce cost to producers. (For example, Risk Management Agency, Crop
17. Insurance Adjusters, Farm Service Agency, Natural Resources Conservation Service, etc.)
- 18.
19. G. We urge USDA to improve an online web portal so farmers and ranchers may access their records,
20. sign forms electronically and find information about programs in one place;
- 21.
22. H. We oppose mandatory closing or consolidation of FSA or NRCS offices.
- 23.
24. I. We support changes to the ARC and PLC payment calculations that take local basis levels into
25. account.
- 26.
27. (1) We support raising reference prices.
- 28.
29. J. We support the use of RMA data by USDA to determine yield information for multiple USDA pro-
30. grams.
- 31.

32. **11. FEDERAL AG POLICY**

33. A. We urge Congress to establish a federal watch dog division that our FSA, NRCS, Fish and Wildlife
34. must be held accountable for their actions in dealing with farmers and rural issues.
- 35.

36. **12. STATE AGRICULTURAL POLICY**

37. The vast majority of North Dakota's wealth comes from agriculture. The North Dakota Department of

38. Agriculture should have adequate funds available to actively promote the agriculture industry in North

39. Dakota and should have jurisdiction over all agriculture commissions and agencies in the state.

- 40.
41. A. The state of North Dakota should make every effort to develop reciprocal agreements with its
42. neighboring states to provide for livestock brand inspection and disease control measures.
- 43.
44. B. We support movement of public funds collected for brand registration, brand inspections and estrays

1. to be moved to the appropriate state agency.
- 2.
3. C. We support adequate federal, state and county funding and urge strict enforcement of laws that would
4. assure the control of noxious weeds, such as leafy spurge, and insects, such as grasshoppers, on
5. state, federal, public and private land, including conventional and organic farmland, wildlife land,
6. railroad and highway right-of-ways and Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) acreages.
- 7.
8. (1) We urge increased emphasis in the development of biological controls within coordinated weed
9. and pest control programs.
- 10.
11. (2) We encourage the North Dakota Department of Ag to create and facilitate a program to monitor
12. the movement of Ag Equipment and products across state lines to minimize the spread of
13. invasive species such as Palmer Amaranth.
- 14.
15. D. We urge NDSU, the North Dakota Department of Agriculture, the ND Legislature and SBARE to
16. promote increased livestock production, dairy production, and other alternative livestock production
17. and processing in North Dakota.
- 18.
19. E. We urge North Dakota State University to continue policies supporting family farm agriculture.
- 20.
21. F. Donations made to university and/or college research departments (or given to the college or uni-
22. versity and designated for research) must be disclosed to the Board of Higher Education and shall
23. remain an open record, available for public review.
- 24.
25. G. The North Dakota State Mill and Elevator should preferably purchase grain produced in North
26. Dakota.
- 27.
28. H. We oppose any proposal to sell or deplete the assets of the North Dakota State Mill or the Bank of
29. North Dakota.
- 30.
31. I. We recommend that elk, deer and other forms of non-traditional livestock, including aquaculture,
32. when raised as privately-owned domestic livestock and part of legitimate agriculture, be recognized
33. as domestic or domesticated livestock by North Dakota and the United States Department of
34. Agriculture, and encourage other individual states to take similar action.
- 35.
36. J. We support maintaining the Pride of Dakota promotion program, which is best managed by the State
37. Ag Department.
- 38.
39. K. We will continue to support the Agricultural Products Utilization Commission (APUC). We recommend
40. continued monitoring of APUC to ensure that the focus remains on providing benefits to North Dakota
41. farmers/producers.
- 42.
43. L. We urge that facilities and institutions within the state be strongly encouraged to purchase fuels
44. made and fuels grown and processed within the state. The State should use an incentive program

1. to promote this type of economic development. NDFU should set an example in its food service
2. and meals.
- 3.
4. M. We support honey production and beekeepers alike. We urge that beekeepers are compliant with
5. the state and communicative with landowners and chemical applicators, and keep their hives reg-
6. istered and current.
- 7.

8. **13. COMMODITY PROMOTION AND CHECKOFFS**

9. A. Commodity promotion programs can be valuable tools for consumer education and market develop-
10. ment. We recommend that research and promotion programs financed through producer checkoffs
11. be closely evaluated to see whether such programs are strictly farmer-controlled. We believe that
12. such programs should include the following criteria:
- 13.
14. (1) Approval by a majority of producers voting individually in a referendum by mail, with at least
15. 30% of eligible voters participating. The referendum should be held prior to the imposition of
16. the checkoff.
- 17.
18. (2) Programs being formulated or currently in operation be subject to review at any time on the
19. call of 10% of producers concerned. There should be a reauthorization vote every five years.
- 20.
21. (3) A procedure be provided to enable producers to obtain refund of state authorized checkoff
22. funds without delay or hindrance on an annual basis. Refund application blanks should be
23. provided by the purchasers of the commodity.
- 24.
25. (4) Criminal penalties be provided for using funds for personal, political or lobbying activities. No
26. funds should be donated or contracts provided to organizations for the use of political or lob-
27. bing activities.
- 28.
29. (5) Changes in existing checkoff programs, including changes in checkoff levies and/or administra-
30. tive and operational changes, be submitted to the producers affected and subject to approval
31. by a majority vote.
- 32.
33. B. We oppose an increase of the state beef checkoff. If one should be implemented, it must be a
34. voluntary, opt-in choice for individual producers.
- 35.
36. C. We oppose a mandatory national beef checkoff program and support a voluntary opt-in program.
- 37.
38. D. We oppose implementing an additional national beef checkoff until such time that the existing
39. checkoff is reformed or abolished.
- 40.
41. E. We support a cattle producer's right to forward the entire dollar of their national beef checkoff to the
42. Cattlemen's Beef Board (CBB).
- 43.
44. F. Checkoff programs which derive their collection authority from North Dakota law must appear before

1. the appropriate legislative committees to account for the funds expended on behalf of producer before
2. receiving further spending authority. If any organization enters into a contract with such a commodity
3. checkoff program or receives funds derived from commodity checkoffs, that organization must be
4. subject to open record laws and must be accountable to and appear before appropriate legislative
5. committees regarding the disbursement of said funds. Furthermore, donations from said checkoff
6. collected funds to special projects of organizations that lobby or engage in political activities may be
7. considered only if those projects do not conflict with the policies or positions set forth by the elected
8. members of the commodity checkoff program. North Dakota statutorily authorized checkoff monies
9. may not be used to pay other organizations' dues for membership in national associations or their
10. programs, websites, etc. Checkoff funds from farmer commodities shall not be used for lobbying
11. group agendas, where they are unaccountable to North Dakota farmers.

12.
13. G. The budgets and use of funds of commodity checkoffs must maintain at least 60 percent for research
14. and development.

15.
16. H. We oppose the merger of U.S. Wheat Associates and the National Association of Wheat Growers
17. into one organization.

18.
19. I. Farmers Union supports legislation that mandates election of the ND Beef Commission, by checking
20. off participating producers within their respective representative districts.

21.
22. J. We oppose any beef checkoff dollars being appropriated to the United States Farmers and Ranchers
23. Alliance (USFRA) and strongly urge the U.S. Secretary of Agriculture to prohibit such expenditures.

24.
25. K. We urge the National Farmers Union to act as a contracting agent for the National Beef Checkoff
26. whenever possible.

27.
28. L. We oppose private organizations managing state and national-collected funds.

29.

30. **14. COMMODITY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**

31. A. We recommend that USDA fund on-the-farm research and demonstrations that are being imple-
32. mented by farmers.

33.
34. B. We urge the North Dakota Legislature and the governor to support the funding of agricultural research.
35. Recognizing the adverse economic impact on North Dakota, we support stepping up research and
36. increasing funding for combating crop diseases and pests. We support continuation of the NDSU
37. Extension Service in a form that best serves the needs of North Dakota.

38.
39. Funding for agricultural research should be primarily from state and federal public funding sources.
40. We urge that any research generated at public research institutions remain in the public domain.

41. C. We urge the North Dakota Legislature to fund a new Ag Products Development Center at NDSU to
42. replace the current cereal and meat science buildings.

43.
44. D. We support the Northern Crops Institute and urge that its budget and appropriations continue to be

1. kept separate from North Dakota State University's budget and that it maintain a producer board.
- 2.
3. E. We urge that the royalties received by NDSU for the sale of protected varieties of seed be use
4. strictly for research and development of new or improved seed varieties and that a financial report
5. be published once a year.
- 6.
7. F. We urge research and orderly development of alternate crops and alternative uses of agricultural
8. commodities. We support the implementation of identity-preserved marketing systems to help the
9. farm producer retain more of the income from marketing alternative crops into niche markets.
- 10.
11. G. We oppose patenting of any life forms.
- 12.
13. H. Publicly funded and other agricultural research at our land grant colleges should not promote the
14. industrialization of agriculture. For example, biotechnology can be a tool for either the advance-
15. ment of family farm agricultural systems or industrialized agricultural systems. Such technologies
16. must be carefully monitored to ensure that they are not destructive to family farm agriculture, farm
17. stewardship, open agricultural commodity markets and our rural communities.
- 18.
19. I. We support the United Nations' International Treaty for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and
20. Agriculture (ITPGRFA).
- 21.
22. (1) ITPGRFA, popularly known as the International Seed Treaty, is the conservation and sustainable
23. use of all plant genetic resources for food and agriculture and the fair and equitable sharing
24. of the benefits arising out of their use, in harmony with the Convention on Biological Diversity,
25. for sustainable agriculture and food security.
- 26.
27. J. We support the Pulse Health Initiative for pulse crops.
- 28.
29. K. We oppose the arbitrary relocation of the USDA Economic Research Service (ERS) and the National
30. Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA). The poorly planned move is resulting in long-term damage
31. including loss of professional expertise, valuable research, time, and financial resources.
- 32.

33. **15. COMMODITY MARKETING**

34. A. We request the Department of Agriculture's reporting service to furnish accurate and timely reports
35. on world and domestic crop conditions, supplies and needs of exporting and importing countries to
36. producers, as well as the grain trade.
- 37.
38. B. We urge federal legislation to direct bankruptcy courts to recognize warehouse receipts and sales
39. tickets as proof of ownership of stored grain to ensure that farmers holding these would not see
40. their interest come in second to those of other creditors in cases of elevator bankruptcy.
41. C. We urge the establishment of a federal storable commodities insurance corporation to guarantee
42. that each farmer will be protected for each commodity stored, delivered or contracted to licensed
43. commodity dealers.
- 44.

1. D. We call for continued Congressional monitoring of all commodity exchanges and futures contracts,
2. including derivatives.
- 3.
4. E. We support the Livestock Marketing Fairness Act requiring a fixed base price in formula contracts
5. and requiring that contracts be traded in open, public markets.
- 6.
7. F. We believe that commodity markets, in order to more accurately represent the true relationship
8. between supply and demand, should have reforms. These reforms include:
- 9.
10. (1) Guarding against insider trading by individuals or firms that possess foreknowledge of significant
11. price changes due to large market transactions.
- 12.
13. (2) Examining and investigating the role of increasing market power funds, the connections between
14. the funds and large commercial interests, and the ability of these organizations to exchange
15. resources and information for the purpose of driving down commodity prices, and thus lower
16. the prices farmers are paid for their products.
- 17.
18. (3) Monitoring and guarding against proposals by the commodity futures exchanges impacting
19. trading rules and trading limits that would increase market volatility to the detriment of agricul-
20. tural producers.
- 21.
22. G. Farm commodities should be priced according to commonly understood measures of weight.
- 23.
24. H. We urge development of a universal grain grading and testing system which is binding to both the
25. buyer and seller.
- 26.
27. I. We oppose any privatization of federal grain inspection services.
- 28.
29. J. We urge the Federal Grain Inspection service to regulate the falling numbers grain grading criteria
30. that is currently being used.
- 31.
32. K. We urge that oil, protein, moisture testers and truck scales be checked and calibrated on a regular
33. basis by state or federal departments of grain inspection or weights and measures.
- 34.
35. L. A premium equal to the shrinkage loss should be paid at market price to a farmer for grain testing
36. at lower than the dry grain moisture standard for such grain.
- 37.
38. M. We urge all grain inspection services, individual elevators, and RMA standardize vomitoxin testing
39. to ensure validity and accuracy.
- 40.
41. N. We urge the development of testing equipment that accurately tests for vomitoxin at the time of
42. delivery.
- 43.
44. O. We urge research to be done on vomitoxin in order to verify if current standards on levels of human

1. and animal consumption can be relaxed without compromising food safety.
- 2.
3. P. We support a program of education in all marketing tools including the risks/benefits of each tool.
- 4.
5. Q. In the event of credit-sale contracts, we support requiring those who write the contracts to obtain
6. credit-sale bond coverage. We also favor increasing the bonding requirements for grain and livestock
7. buyers operating in the state of North Dakota with that money being administered by a state-run
8. bonding agency.
- 9.
10. R. We support the continuation of an indemnity fund with a cap of 50 million dollars for grain credit
11. sales and delayed price contracts in order to provide protection to credit sale patrons in insolvency
12. cases. Funding should be generated by an assessment to the producers who take the credit sales
13. and delayed pricing contracts.
- 14.
15. S. We recommend the grain elevator indemnity fund provide guarantees as follows for each deferred
16. payment contract:
- | Loss | Coverage |
|------------------------|----------|
| \$100,000 or less | 100% |
| \$100,001 to \$200,000 | 90% |
| \$200,001 to \$300,000 | 80% |
| \$300,001 to \$400,000 | 70% |
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
23. T. Mechanisms should be in place to protect producers against losses associated with pre-pay sales.
- 24.
25. U. We oppose any effort by USDA to withhold licensing of state elevators also licensed by individual
26. states.
- 27.
28. V. We support stronger regulations on roving grain traders and livestock traders.
- 29.

30. **16. LABELING OF COMMODITY PRODUCTS**

31. A. We support the U.S. Beef Integrity Act.
- 32.
33. B. We support mandatory country-of-origin labeling for agriculture products. In order to qualify as U.S.
34. produced, meat products (including fish) must come from an animal born, raised and harvested in
35. the U.S., and fresh produce and peanuts, pecans and macadamia nuts must be exclusively grown
36. and processed in the U.S.

37.

38. Additionally, we believe dairy products should also be included in COOL. Country-of-origin labeling

39. is a valuable marketing tool for producers and it allows consumers to know where their food is

40. produced.

41.

42. We urge Congress to uphold mandatory COOL and NDFU supports USDA's 2013 rule change that

43. requires labeling of production steps – “born, raised and harvested” – for muscle cuts of meats to

44. ensure consumers are getting accurate information.

1. C. North Dakota Farmers Union encourages reimplementing mandatory COOL through trade negoti-
2. ations.
- 3.
4. D. We recommend that the trademark (Real Seal) continue to be used on all food products containing
5. dairy products. We also request that the word “milk” be used only on products coming from an
6. animal. Those products coming from plants would be labeled as a beverage.
- 7.
8. E. U.S. producers must comply with rigorous standards regulating food production in our country,
9. including application and use of pesticides and herbicides, minimum wage requirements, working
10. conditions, housing, conservation and inspections of food production. We recommend all food prod-
11. ucts should be labeled to list the ingredient’s nutritional value and country of origin. Canned, fresh
12. and frozen products should be dated when packaged. We endorse uniformity in product claims,
13. such as “light,” “low fat,” etc.
- 14.
15. F. We recommend that food products be labeled with the correct ownership of the parent company
16. and country of origin. The seal should be plainly visible to the consumer.
- 17.
18. G. We encourage the freedom of agricultural producers and processors to accurately advertise, label
19. and promote products as hormone-free, antibiotic-free, non-genetically altered or exceeding national
20. organic standards.
- 21.
22. H. NDFU opposes labeling products created using animal cell culture technology as “meat,” and
23. related products as “beef”, “poultry”, or “seafood.” NDFU supports adding to the Food Standards
24. and Labeling Policy Book the following definitions and labeling requirements for beef and meat:
- 25.
26. (1) “Beef” is product from cattle that have been born, raised, and harvested in the traditional manner.
27. Products derived from alternative sources such as synthetic product from plants, insects, or
28. other non-animal components and any product grown in labs from animal cells are prohibited
29. from being labeled as beef.
- 30.
31. (2) “Meat” is product from the tissue or flesh of animals that have been harvested in the traditional
32. manner. Products derived from alternative sources, such as synthetic product from plants,
33. insects, or other non-animal components and any product grown in a lab from animal cells are
34. prohibited from being labeled as meat.
- 35.
36. I. We believe any product made from cell culture technology be labeled as such.
- 37.
- 38.
- 39.
- 40.
- 41.
- 42.
- 43.
- 44.

1. **SECTION II. INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION**
2. **AND THE FAMILY FARM**
3.

4. The goal of the United States in international trade and political relationships must be to stabilize world
5. agricultural production and ensure that all of the world's populations have adequate food to meet daily human
6. nutritional needs.

7.
8. As a leading exporter of food into the world market, the United States must recognize that any unilateral action
9. it takes will have profound effects on international food trade and will significantly impact food producing and
10. importing nations, especially developing nations.

11.
12. The United States must use its leadership role as a food producer to develop responsible international trade
13. policies and to increase world food security through cooperative agreements among nations.

14.
15. **1. INTERNATIONAL TRADE**

16. A. We believe that expansion of trade can only be achieved by first stabilizing current trading conditions.
17. The unregulated free trade system of the United States is a dangerous destabilizing force in world
18. food production which will lead to boom and bust market cycles for producers and price swings for
19. consumers.

20.
21. B. Exporting at less than the cost of production is a purposeful short-changing of producers to the ben-
22. efit of the grain trade. Cost of production includes, but is not limited to uniform working conditions,
23. labor laws and equal treatment of the environment regardless of political borders.

24.
25. C. We have concerns about the definitions of trade-distorting subsidies as addressed by the U.S.
26. Trade Representative. These definitions could eventually include all state and federal programs
27. that provide regulatory, economic and social services to rural areas.

28.
29. D. When the United States is threatened with international trade retaliations that may have negative
30. effects on agriculture, an effort should be made to protect family farmers and ranchers.

31.
32. E. We call for attention on the following trade issues.

33.
34. Support for:

35. (1) Congressional review of the President's ability to impose tariffs without the authorization of
36. Congress.

37.
38. (2) Trade as long as it is fair trade. We support a fair trade system that strengthens the health,
39. environment, food sovereignty, working conditions and labor rights of all countries. Vibrant
40. national economies are essential to a healthy global community.

41.
42. (3) The use of an end-use certificate for any grains or livestock coming into the United States.

43.
44. (4) The U.S. EPA sharing testing procedures with our trading partners so that our producers are

1. not put at a financial disadvantage.
- 2.
3. (5) Harmonization of farm chemicals in price and usage between the United States and other
4. countries. We support the concept of the chemical harmonization program.
- 5.
6. (6) Ending the embargo and normalizing trade relations with Cuba.
- 7.
8. (7) All existing quarantine requirements be strictly adhered to as set forth by USDA/APHIS regard-
9. ing imports of livestock, livestock products (i.e. boxed beef), and other commodities.
- 10.
11. (8) Transparent competitive market principles and adequate antitrust/anti-collusion measures.
- 12.

13. Opposition to:

14. (1) The Trade Promotion Authority System for ratification of trade agreements in which the entire
15. trade package must be approved without amendment or rejected in total by Congress within
16. a 60-day time limit.
- 17.
18. (2) Embargoes and tariffs on farm commodities. In the event of said actions, we urge Congress
19. to require that 100 percent of parity price supports be automatically triggered.
- 20.
21. (3) The strong-arm tactics of today's ag chemical companies in regard to patent infringement laws.
- 22.
23. (4) The World Trade Organization (WTO) and its current methods of trade negotiation. We oppose
24. proposals that call for a worldwide decoupling and dismantling of domestic farm stabilization
25. programs.
- 26.
27. (5) Defining trade-distorting subsidies to include state and federal programs that provide regulatory,
28. economic, and social services to rural areas.
- 29.
30. (6) Predatory marketing practices that cause a decline of market competition.
- 31.

32. **2. AGRICULTURAL TRADE AGREEMENTS**

33. The measure of the success of a trade agreement has to be its benefit to agriculture and family farmers
34. and ranchers' net income. Our trade negotiators need to recognize that food security is non-negotiable
35. for many trade partners, and that they will never agree to give us full market access.

36.
37. We call for a formal, thorough analysis of current agricultural trade agreements to determine their suc-
38. cess at meeting their stated goals before any new bilateral or regional trade agreements are negotiated
39. or approved.

40.
41. We support trade laws, agreements and treaties that ensure:

42.
43. A. The right and ability of the United States and other nations and their political subdivisions to maintain
44.

1. and operate policies and programs that protect their interests of public health, safety, welfare and
2. services.
- 3.
4. B. Transparent and competitive market principles as well as adequate oversight of antitrust/anti-collusion
5. practices, competition practices, elimination of predatory practices and investigation into continuing
6. decline of market competition.
- 7.
8. C. Imported commodities and products are subject to inspection and testing to assure that they are
9. mined, grown and/or produced under standards that are consistent with all domestic laws and
10. regulations.
- 11.
12. D. Any agreement affecting trade that does not undermine the laws, jurisdiction or sovereignty of a
13. country and its political subdivisions. The importer and/or seller of any commodity, product or service
14. should be liable for any violation of domestic laws and regulations, with jurisdiction and venue for
15. such legal challenges residing in the pertinent domestic court.
- 16.
17. E. All products, services and commodities that are imported shall be purchased in an open, competitive
18. and transparent market system.
- 19.
20. F. All imported products have an identified fair market value that may be used as the basis for an
21. infrastructure tax.
- 22.
23. G. Quotas and/or tariffs on any commodity or product of any country when currency exchange rate
24. differences put domestic producers at a competitive disadvantage.
- 25.
26. H. Any agreement that is negotiated and enacted through a transparent democratic process.
- 27.
28. I. The outlawing of export subsidies that allow dumping of agricultural products into the international
29. marketplace at less than the cost of production.
- 30.
31. J. A high standard of health, sanitation and environmental standards to upgrade and bring the quality
32. of agricultural commodity imports to at least the standards required of United States' producers and
33. processors due to concerns of bioterrorism and food-borne illness. We also support the recognition of
34. the right of nations to develop their own domestic food security and supply-management programs.
- 35.
36. Due to the recent concerns with poor quality standards of products made and exported from China,
37. we call for stricter enforcement of inspections.
- 38.
39. K. The development of international pricing agreements with minimum and maximum price levels fair
40. to both producers and consumers.
- 41.
42. L. The establishment of an international food and energy reserve program to provide food aid to the
43. hungry, to manage any surplus production in case of international disaster, to ensure supply for
44. renewable energy programs and to hold excess surpluses in good production years. Both export

1. and import countries would share in the costs of managing and maintaining reserves.

2.

3. M. Reciprocity in trade that addresses massive U.S. trade deficits.

4.

5. **3. INTERNATIONAL TRADE AGREEMENTS**

6. A. The United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement

7. We call upon Congress to closely monitor the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USM-
8. CA).

9.

10. We urge agricultural producers of all three nations to find avenues of cooperation that will be mu-
11. tually beneficial in stabilizing production and prices and in exporting our production into the world
12. market.

13.

14. B. Canadian Free Trade Agreement

15. We request Congress monitor the flow of agricultural products from Canada under the existing Free
16. Trade Agreement. We oppose any accelerated tariff reductions on agricultural products moving from
17. Canada into the United States.

18.

19. We call for a Congressional investigation into the formula and the calculations used within the
20. existing Free Trade Agreement to determine the level of agricultural subsidies in each country. We
21. believe the formula and the calculations to be detrimental to U.S. producers.

22.

23. C. Dominican Republic-Central America Free Trade Agreement (DR-CAFTA), and Australian Free
24. Trade Agreement (AFTA).

25.

26. We call upon Congress to closely monitor DR-CAFTA and AFTA and advocate for accountability for
27. each agreement.

28.

29. D. We call upon Congress to monitor the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans Pacific
30. Partnership in order to control currency manipulation, committed by foreign governments, which
31. results in adverse effects to United States' producers.

32.

33.

34.

35.

36.

37.

38.

39.

40.

41.

42.

43.

44.

1. **SECTION III. LIVESTOCK DEVELOPMENT AND THE FAMILY FARM**

2.

3. **1. DAIRY POLICY**

4. U.S. dairy producers provide a high-quality, stable supply of dairy products to consumers. Dairy pro-
5. ducers in turn need to receive a profitable return on their investment.

6.

7. We urge Congress to develop a comprehensive dairy program that will create an equitable means of
8. pricing milk that does not disadvantage dairy producers. This policy should:

9.

10. A. Call for a single nationwide milk marketing order. Location specific differentials as part of the federal
11. restructuring of the milk market order system should only be adopted if the differentials are coupled
12. with a base price on all classes of milk.

13.

14. B. Support legislation to clarify that milk protein concentrates (MPCs) are the concentration of milk
15. proteins from fluid milk. Milk proteins from reconstituted products (powdered milk products) cannot
16. be considered a concentrated product and therefore classified as a remanufactured milk protein
17. powder and be assessed a tariff similar to other imported milk powders.

18.

19. C. Provide transparency in milk price reporting.

20.

21. D. Include a base price policy for milk. The base price should help producers in all regions of the country
22. and provide for supply management. The base price should be set at a level that allows producers
23. to earn a fair return on their milk from the marketplace.

24.

25. E. The DIAP (Dairy Import Assessment Program) should remain a part of the U.S. Dairy Policy.

26.

27. We support North Dakota policy that includes:

28.

29. A. The diagnostic team approach and encourage its expansion to all family-operated livestock and
30. dairy farms in North Dakota.

31.

32. B. The development of a dairy specific financing program through the Bank of North Dakota for family-
33. owned dairies.

34.

35. C. Promoting the development of cooperatively owned dairy processing facilities in the state of North
36. Dakota.

37.

38. **2. LIVESTOCK**

39. Livestock production is essential to the well-being of North Dakota. North Dakota Farmers Union actively
40. promotes the development of livestock production in North Dakota as a vital component in maintaining
41. a healthy agriculture sector.

42.

43. Our organization will remain a leader within the livestock industry, actively promoting its growth through
44. leadership programs, business ventures and responsible livestock policy.

1. This policy should:
2. A. Favor the humane treatment of animals and encourage open dialogue with animal welfare groups;
3. however, it should urge caution in passing laws regarding animal rights so that sound management
4. practices are not adversely affected.
- 5.
6. B. Favor continuation of livestock grazing on federal lands and maintaining reasonable grazing rates
7. to continue a viable livestock industry.
- 8.
9. C. Support the dissolution of the monopoly and oligopoly that major meat packers have and the control
10. they have on finished livestock inventory.
- 11.
12. D. Support mandatory price reporting by meat packing plants.
- 13.
14. E. Support livestock organizations who follow similar policy beliefs as NDFU.
- 15.
16. F. Oppose the USA beef industry being referred to as a North American herd.
- 17.
18. G. Oppose a ban on the slaughter of horses.
- 19.
20. H. Urge the Department of Justice to investigate the regional livestock monopoly and livestock
21. monopsony practices.
- 22.
23. I. We urge the President of the United States to regulate market concentration in the meatpacking
24. industry.
- 25.
26. J. Favor the LIP (Livestock Indemnity Program) of the Agricultural Act of 2014 and ELAP (Emergency
27. Assistance for Livestock, Honey Bees, and Farm-raised Fish Program). We recommend the autho-
28. rization of increasing the cap of \$20 million of CCC funds for eligible producers to \$50 million in a
29. fiscal year for the ELAP.
- 30.
31. K. Urge in a time of disaster/severe drought that USDA release CRP land for haying and grazing at
32. the same time, and to do so as soon as disaster/drought it recognized.
- 33.

34. **3. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS**

35. We recognize that family farm agriculture and good sound environmental practices need to work to-

36. gether. Our policy encourages a well-balanced, sensible environmental policy that protects the public

37. and the environment without unduly burdening family farmers through excessive regulation or economic

38. hardship.

39. A. Implications of Scale

40. Livestock manure is a valuable resource that provides essential nutrients to crop land. However, when

41. livestock manure is concentrated into large volumes, improperly stored, transported, or disposed,

42. it can have a negative effect on the environment. The issue of scale is critical in determining the

43. levels of risk associated with manure management, and therefore paramount in the development of

44.

1. policy. Matching the appropriate regulatory control to the appropriate scale may be key in designing
2. appropriate livestock manure management practices.

3.
4. The large amount of manure generated by livestock production presents a considerable manure
5. management challenge. In addition to the detrimental effects large quantities of manure can have
6. on land and water, there are also concerns that manure containing bacteria, viruses, and other
7. possibly harmful organisms released into the environment in huge volumes could lead to public
8. health issues.

9.
10. However, there is general concern that any additional regulations aimed at agriculture would lead
11. to costly and intrusive measures for all producers that could force small to medium-sized producers
12. out of business.

13.
14. We support a tiered system that determines the degree of regulation by the size of the operation.

15.
16. B. Federal Regulation

17. The Environmental Protection Agency has established national standards for large-scale confinement
18. operations or CAFOs (Confined Animal Feeding Operations). Because there is a great variance in
19. environmental conditions from state to state and even within each state, broad national guidelines
20. may not be appropriate for every location.

21.
22. We support national minimum guidelines or standards that give primacy for implementation and
23. flexibility in regional planning to the states. A national policy should discourage polluters from “shop-
24. ping” among the states for the lowest environmental standards and encourage states and localities
25. to establish standards beyond the federal minimums.

26.
27. C. State Regulation

28. (1) We support state standards that are on a graduated system of at least three tiers, small, me-
29. dium and large. They should be implemented with a sliding scale of standards that address
30. each size operation.

31.
32. (2) We urge the North Dakota Department of Health to issue rules for large CAFOs that prohibit
33. locating over glacial aquifers, require bonding and provide an opportunity for a public vote
34. through the administrative process.

35.
36. (3) North Dakota should safeguard the right of political subdivisions to enact and enforce their own
37. zoning ordinances and we strongly encourage all townships and counties to establish their
38. own standards, so long as minimum state requirements are met.

39.
40. (4) We support the North Dakota Department of Health’s Model Zoning for Animal Feeding
41. Operations (AFOs).

42.
43. D. Specific Recommendations for Large-Scale Facilities:

44. (1) Because technology exists that reduces environmental impact, enhances the quality of life for

1. neighbors and communities, and encourages increased production, we support using a high
2. standard of technology for manure storage. New and expanding large scale operations should
3. be required to utilize new technologies.
- 4.
5. (2) In order to protect the rights of both farm and non-farm citizens, we support setback distances.
6. New facilities should be located at a setback from existing residences (residence on the facility
7. not applicable), businesses, churches, schools or public use areas. Conversely, existing
8. operations should be protected from encroaching development.
- 9.
10. (3) Permit applicants must prepare and submit a manure management plan containing detailed
11. information regarding proposed method of distribution (optimum crop schedule, timing
12. and location of applications, calculations about how much land is necessary for application,
13. methods to reduce/eliminate potential water pollution and odor, and detailed records for 3-5
14. years following application detailing methods and dates of application).
- 15.
16. (a) Application of manure should be injected or incorporated into the soil wherever possible.
17. Aerial spraying of liquid manure should be prohibited with the exception of gray water.
- 18.
19. (b) Manure should not be applied in such a way as to cause contamination from run-off.
- 20.
21. (4) Permit applicants for a large scale facility must serve notice to the public describing the type of
22. facility to be constructed, the type of manure to be generated, the manure handling treatment to
23. be used, a legal description of the property, and notice of a public comment period. Applicants
24. must develop a "baseline" for monitoring future water/soil quality. In addition, all managers/
25. operators must complete training in manure management and odor control.
- 26.
27. (5) Permit holders must disclose the number of animals within a facility upon request. The Health
28. Department should develop a policy that includes nursing animals in permitted amount of
29. animals.
- 30.
31. (6) Annual, unannounced inspections of operations including independent testing of water quality.
- 32.
33. (7) "Bad Actor" legislation allowing the state to reject permits from producers who have a poor
34. environmental record (habitual environmental damage) or whose permit has been revoked in
35. another state.
- 36.
37. (8) Facility closing requirements that ensure proper clean-up if they cease operating. This would
38. require total removal of manure and contaminated soils within a specified period after closure
39. and revegetation within three years of closure.
- 40.
41. (9) Existing operations should be required to comply with new rules within a certain amount of
42. time with no grandfather clauses.
- 43.
44. (10) In order to protect taxpayers, permits should require financial assurances including proof of

1. liability insurance to a determined amount, net worth, or adequate bonding.

2.

3. (11) Increase the statute of limitations for the nuisance law.

4.

5. (12) Provide penalties for violation of state and local CAFO regulations.

6.

7. **4. LIVESTOCK HEALTH**

8. A. We support the continuation of the North Dakota Voluntary Johne's Disease Testing Program.

9.

10. B. We support the right of meat processors to voluntarily test animals for diseases such as BSE to
11. meet the enhanced requirements of value-added markets.

12.

13. C. We support the Board of Animal Health's effort to monitor bioterrorism within the livestock industry.

14.

15. D. We are opposed to closing or relocating the Plum Island Research Facility.

16.

17. E. We support the producer's right to use antibiotics, other products and animal livestock technologies
18. that have been proven safe, on an "as needed" basis.

19.

20. F. We oppose the use of antibiotics in animal feed for the purpose of weight gain. Protecting against
21. antibiotic resistance is a key issue farmers and ranchers need to be aware of and should act as
22. advocates promoting efforts to protect the public and provide a safe, reliable food supply.

23.

24. G. We encourage all livestock producers to develop professional relationships with their veterinarians
25. to learn more about the rules of the Veterinary Feed Directive.

26.

27. H. We support the National Scrapie Eradication Program.

28.

29. **5. CONSUMER PROTECTION**

30. A. We support stronger measures which would prevent market manipulation by entities through
31. inconclusive testing of agricultural products (false BSE tests). Any release of information should be
32. based solely on final, scientific, and positive testing.

33.

34. B. We need strict enforcement of trade laws and labeling laws to provide protection to consumers and
35. livestock producers from the importation of livestock diseases such as Bovine Spongiform Enceph-
36. alopathy (BSE), tuberculosis, foot and mouth disease (FMD) and other communicable diseases.

37.

38. C. We support the ND Beef Quality Assurance Program.

39.

40. D. The food industry continues to develop methods that are intended to eliminate the threat of food
41. borne illness from our food supply. Irradiation is a new technology, and North Dakota Farmers Union
42. recognizes this process as a tool to protect consumers against harmful pathogens; however, our
43. organization urges all applications continue to be evaluated as to its overall impact, including any
44. health and safety issues that may arise due to the new technology.

1. **6. ANIMAL DISEASE TRACEABILITY**

2. NDFU supports an animal disease traceability program if the following points are met:

3.

4. A. Has the least possible cost to producers.

5.

6. B. Encourages full participation and shared responsibility throughout the industry.

7.

8. C. Provides adequate liability protection fire walls including, but not limited to, an exemption from the
9. Freedom of Information Act.

10.

11. D. Is conducive to the collection of data that will be compatible with, and complementary to, the country-
12. of-origin labeling (COOL) law.

13.

14. E. The animal disease traceability program releases information only for confirmed cases when an
15. animal health problem arises necessitating an animal be traced to its source.

16.

17. F. Establishes an educational component within the program to educate producers on current require-
18. ments.

19.

20. G. Provides for animal identification records being maintained only by USDA, funded only by USDA-
21. APHIS and administered and maintained by state boards of animal health and not by private
22. organizations.

23.

24. H. Electronic ID be used only on a voluntary, not mandatory, basis.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

34.

35.

36.

37.

38.

39.

40.

41.

42.

43.

44.

1. **SECTION IV. RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE FAMILY FARM**

2.

3. The key to successful rural development is to stabilize, strengthen and build upon the agricultural base and
4. the family farm structure of rural America. Economic diversification must be a supplement to, not a substitute
5. for, a healthy agricultural economy and a strong family farm system.

6.

7. The creation of agricultural opportunities for a new generation of beginning farmers must be a central
8. component of rural development.

9.

10. The organization of cooperative enterprises which retain equity, control, benefits and ownership for agricul-
11. tural producers within rural communities is a proven self-help, home-grown rural development mechanism
12. which builds needed economic infrastructures for rural people.

13.

14. We recommend a loan program for farmers to invest and build producer-owned, value-added co-ops to gain
15. economic independence and spur rural economic development.

16.

17. Access to credit, technical expertise and markets are essential ingredients in securing opportunities for rural
18. and agricultural enterprises.

19.

20. We call for a new partnership in which our federal and state governments and rural people can develop
21. together the full potential of rural America's human and natural resources.

22.

23. **1. FARM SERVICE AGENCY (FSA)**

24. A. The Farm Service Agency (FSA) should:

25.

26. (1) Provide ag credit only to family-sized farm operators who cannot obtain financing elsewhere.

27.

28. (2) Provide supervised loan programs to beginning and other family farm operators.

29.

30. (3) Provide long-term real estate loans to be graduated to commercial credit at the end of ten years.
31. The interest rate and repayment schedule would be adjusted to build an adequate equity base
32. during the initial ten-year period and be based on the producer's annual net farm income and
33. production. The graduation could be postponed in case of economic hardship.

34.

35. (4) Provide production (operating) loans with adjusted interest rates and repayment schedules in
36. accordance with the producer's production and income.

37.

38. (5) Be authorized to develop innovative programs of finance and assistance for land transfer be-
39. tween generations and for establishment of new farm units, such as Land Link.

40.

41. (6) Work closely with state programs designed for beginning farmers and be able to supplement
42. and guarantee such state programs.

43.

44. (7) Make land and improvements within FSA inventory available to eligible farm families.

1. (8) Restructure FSA loans with borrowers' rights and protections in mind. Restructuring opportunities provided by law should be preserved for family farmers.
- 2.
- 3.
4. (9) Consider applications promptly, with responses reported to the applicant as quickly as possible. Present FSA loan limits should reflect land values and provide opportunities for additional family farmers.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
8. (10) Discontinue the phase-down of direct FSA loans. We call upon Congress to increase the appropriations and allocations toward a direct loan program.
- 9.
- 10.
11. (11) Raise the direct loan limit for operating and farm ownership at FSA to at least \$1 million.
- 12.
13. B. In cooperation with other ag lenders, FSA should streamline and standardize their forms to provide more ease and uniformity in their credit evaluation process.
- 14.
- 15.
16. C. We urge USDA to consider the relevancy of information that is required to be provided during sign-up for farm programs. We support efforts to enforce payment limitations.
- 17.
- 18.
19. D. We call on Congress to appropriate additional funds to adequately staff and maintain current FSA offices.
- 20.
- 21.

22. **2. FARM CREDIT SYSTEM**

23. We reaffirm our commitment to Farm Credit System (FCS) and its local cooperative associations. FCS is an essential service that must be maintained as a financially sound source of agricultural credit.
- 24.
- 25.
26. A. We urge FCS to provide member-borrowers full access to their individual case files.
- 27.
28. B. We urge FCS to give its greatest priority to fully coordinating its credit services to assist its family farm member-borrowers to help farmers and ranchers get the lowest interest rate possible when they are having challenges with debt.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
32. C. We urge the Farm Credit Administration to increase the authority of local boards of directors so that FCS' lending policies adequately reflect member control.
- 33.
- 34.
35. D. We oppose any restructuring of the farm credit system which dilutes farmer ownership of their cooperative credit organization or replaces farmer-elected members of system boards with commercial bankers. We believe that the ability of the system to access the agency market for funds must be protected to ensure that the FCS continues to serve as an alternative source of credit for producers.
- 36.
- 37.
- 38.
- 39.

40. **3. RURAL CREDIT AND NORTH DAKOTA**

41. The state of North Dakota has a responsibility to use its resources and authorities to the fullest extent to assist family farmers.
- 42.
- 43.
44. A. We favor continuation of the North Dakota Mediation Service. We urge continued use of the Farm

1. Credit Review Board as a base for providing a coordinated and consolidated set of services to farmers.
2. This agency should also be the primary vehicle for state funded or sponsored credit programs of
3. operating and real estate loans to financially distressed farmers. We urge the legislature to provide
4. both adequate funding and authority to ensure the effectiveness of this agency. We urge the Industrial
5. Commission to appoint representation from both North Dakota general farm organizations.
6.

7. B. We believe the Bank of North Dakota should focus its resources to its primary mission as stated in the
8. Bank's philosophy: "To deliver quality, sound financial services that promote agriculture, commerce
9. and industry in North Dakota." Therefore, the State Industrial Commission should use its authorities
10. and the Bank's capabilities to maintain and develop programs that assist family farmers.
11.

12. (1) We support the use of the one-stop capital center.
13.

14. (2) The Bank of North Dakota and the Board of University and School Lands should continue to
15. make maximum funds available for farm real estate loans for family farmers.
16.

17. (3) We recommend the expansion of the Bank of North Dakota's beginning farmer programs.
18. These programs should provide a reduced, fixed rate of interest for at least the first ten years
19. of the loan.
20.

21. (4) The Bank of North Dakota should step in and become a bridge lender during a government
22. shutdown.
23.

24. **4. FARM DEBT REORGANIZATION**

25. A. We call for continued policies of forbearance and restructuring of loans. We urge restraints in the
26. quantity of farm debt write-down.
27.

28. **5. RURAL REVITALIZATION**

29. A. Grants and low interest monies should be made available for the revitalization of business areas in
30. our rural communities.
31.

32. B. In recognition of the interdependence between the family farm and the independent rural community
33. business, we urge our fellow members to patronize rural town businesses that share our common
34. goals and issues.
35.

36. C. We call upon our organization to confer with farm, cooperative, government and business organi-
37. zations to develop programs to revitalize the economy of the Great Plains region. We encourage
38. NFU to initiate a National Rural Summit.
39.

40. D. We urge cooperatives and other businesses to process farm products close to the production areas
41. as a means to support and build the economies of our rural communities.
42.
43.
44.

1. E. Economic Development should:
- 2.
3. (1) Be more open and receptive to rural and urban taxpayers concerns and inputs.
- 4.
5. (2) Have clearly defined goals, objectives, processes and policies.
- 6.
7. (3) Require companies receiving economic development incentives and taxpayer subsidies to pay
8. wages at least 125% of the federal poverty level.
- 9.
10. (4) Have clawback provisions if companies don't live up to their promises of job creation, retention
11. and wages.
- 12.
13. (5) Support legislation to mandate accountability of city and county economic development
14. corporations.
- 15.
16. F. We support the effort to maintain the Adult Farm Business Management education program in
17. North Dakota. Continued support through the State Board of Career and Technical Education is
18. necessary to allow local school districts to provide this valuable and necessary program of adult
19. education.

1. **SECTION V. COOPERATIVES AND THE FAMILY FARM**

2.

3. The promotion and encouragement of farm cooperatives should be emphasized as an effective means to
4. increase farmers' bargaining power.

5.

6. We reaffirm our belief in the cooperative principles, including:

7. • Open and voluntary membership

8. • Democratic member control

9. • Members' economic participation

10. • Autonomy and independence

11. • Education, training, and information

12. • Cooperation among cooperatives

13. • Concern for community

14.

15. We believe cooperatives should set aside the full amount of educational funds permitted by law.

16.

17. **1. CAPPER-VOLSTEAD ACT**

18. A. The rights of agricultural producers to act together to handle, process and market agricultural prod-
19. ucts through cooperative activity, as guaranteed under the Capper-Volstead Act of 1922, must be
20. continued. These same rights should be formally extended under law to farm supply and consumer
21. cooperatives.

22.

23. B. The rights of cooperative members to organize and operate regional and interregional cooperatives
24. (marketing agencies in common) or to merge with other cooperative associations, should not be
25. restricted by law or government regulation. However, we are not in favor of regionals absorbing
26. local cooperatives until other options of maintaining local control have been exhausted, including
27. merger or joint venture with a nearby cooperative. When necessary for a regional to absorb a local
28. cooperative, we strongly urge every effort would be made by the local members or a nearby coop-
29. erative to purchase the cooperative back when it is feasible. We demand that regional cooperative
30. boards work together, and with local cooperatives, to help them continue operating for the benefit
31. of the members while still following all cooperative principles.

32.

33. C. The responsibilities of administering the Capper-Volstead Act should remain with the U.S. Depart-
34. ment of Agriculture.

35.

36. D. Because cooperative businesses are member-owned and controlled, we oppose any governmental
37. regulation which would infringe upon the rights of cooperative members to determine the policies
38. or operation of their cooperative.

39.

40. E. Value-added cooperatives maintaining cooperative principles of member-ownership and control
41. should be supported by North Dakota Farmers Union.

42.

43. F. We are opposed to Unincorporated Cooperatives that undermine the Capper-Volstead Act.

44.

1. **2. MEMBER INVESTMENT RIGHTS**

2. Farmers have the right to invest in their cooperatives in accordance with the Rochdale principles.
3. Federal or state governments have no right to single out the investments made by farmers in their own
4. cooperatives for special restrictions and penalties.

6. **3. CONDUCTING COOPERATIVE BUSINESS**

7. A. Patrons should take an interest in the business operation of their cooperatives and should attend
8. annual meetings to receive directors' and management reports.

10. B. Cooperatives should consider young farmers and their families to encourage participation at annual
11. meetings.

13. C. Members of boards of directors should be elected from among the members; persons carefully
14. selected who have sound business judgment, Farmers Union philosophy, and who will not use their
15. position for personal advantage. Ideally, the elective process assumes more than one candidate for
16. each position. We recommend patrons elect nominating or candidate search committees.

18. D. Directors should set policy and direction for the cooperative. Managers should be charged with
19. the responsibility of managing day-to-day operations. To ensure that directors of cooperatives are
20. responsible to their member-patrons, only member-patrons should be involved in the selection and
21. election of directors. Delegates representing co-op members must be regular voting members of
22. that cooperative and should be elected by the members.

24. E. Directors' fees should not be subject to Workers Compensation tax and benefits or any other salary-
25. related tax deductions.

27. F. Either spouse should be able to represent the family farm in voting at cooperative meetings. We
28. encourage both spouses to become voting members of cooperatives.

30. G. We are opposed to a cooperative forming a public corporation in order to sell stock on Wall Street
31. as a means to raise capital. This is a departure from cooperative principles. We oppose the regional
32. cooperatives leasing or purchasing property from local cooperatives and delivering products directly
33. to local co-op patrons.

35. H. We urge systematic retirement of patronage stock so the capital requirements of co-ops are provided
36. by the current member-users of the co-op.

38. **4. CO-OP AFFILIATIONS WITH FARMERS UNION**

39. A. Patronage Responsibility

41. (1) Every member of Farmers Union should buy every possible supply, sell all possible products
42. and buy all possible insurance and other services from Farmers Union member-owned or
43. affiliated business activities and cooperatives.

44.

1. B. Affiliated Co-op Responsibilities

2.

3. (1) Farmers Union-affiliated cooperatives should buy products and services locally whenever
4. possible to further local and/or state economic development.

5.

6. (2) We ask CHS, Inc., to continue to support their cooperative foundation. They should work to
7. remain loyal to cooperative principles and remember the importance of grassroots members
8. and their input.

9.

10. (3) We oppose CHS' involvement in out-of-country corporate farming practices which competes
11. with U.S. farmers.

12.

13. (4) We oppose CHS and other federated cooperatives from using their scale and wholesale pricing
14. power to unfairly compete with their member cooperatives. These cooperatives should also
15. not share wholesale sales information with their retail divisions in order to avoid a conflict of
16. interest.

17.

18. C. Common Ties

19.

20. (1) The growth of Farmers Union cooperatives and the Farmers Union organization has occurred
21. through common efforts which have proven to be mutually beneficial. Members should take
22. concerted action to prevent disassociation between Farmers Union and cooperatives and to
23. maintain the common Farmers Union identity. We further recommend that members elect del-
24. egates and directors who would maintain the relationships between these farmer institutions.

25.

26. (2) We invite our affiliated cooperatives to submit resolutions to the Policy and Action Drafting
27. Committee as a method to increase communications between Farmers Union and the coop-
28. erative sector. This would promote a closer working relationship on legislative issues.

29.

30. D. Educational Funds and Dues Checkoffs

31.

32. (1) A basic means of accomplishing closer relationships between Farmers Union and Farmers
33. Union business activities is the payment of five percent of net earnings to educational funds
34. and checking off dues by cooperatives. Educational funds and dues checkoffs are the lifeblood
35. of our youth program and of the cooperative philosophy.

36.

37. (2) We encourage the prompt payment of dues through checkoffs so that membership may never
38. be delinquent. We urge our regional cooperatives give every assistance in extending these
39. practices to every cooperative in the state and help facilitate the use of checkoffs through data
40. processing where it is already part of the bylaws.

41.

42. (3) We urge CHS to continue financial support for local and regional cooperative education even
43. if local cooperatives merge and become a part of CHS.

44.

1. (4) County Farmers Union organizations should encourage cooperatives and their patrons not now
2. affiliated with Farmers Union to check off dues and pay educational funds to the Farmers Union
3. as an effective means of building a farm organization for their own protection and existence.
- 4.
5. (5) We urge that county organizations use their resources to sponsor local seminars on issues
6. affecting their co-ops.
- 7.
8. (6) We encourage county boards to have annual planning sessions with directors, managers and
9. employees of area cooperatives concerning common problems and the use of educational
10. funds.
- 11.
12. (7) We urge the Board of Directors of NDFU to pay for one board member of the non-affiliated
13. cooperatives to the state convention as a guest.
- 14.

15. E. Dakota Pride Cooperative

- 16.
17. (1) We support continuation and expansion of Dakota Pride Cooperative.
- 18.

19. **5. COOPERATIVE FINANCING**

20. A. We support the current 50% membership criteria for CoBank borrowers.
- 21.
22. B. We request legislative authority for the Bank of North Dakota to issue bonds for the purpose of loans
23. at reduced interest rates to cooperative elevators constructing subterminal facilities.
- 24.
25. C. We oppose any effort of CoBank to lend money to non-cooperative agribusiness.
- 26.
27. D. We urge that federal funding programs be initiated along the lines of the original REA program, now
28. called the Rural Utility Service (RUS), to assist farmers in forming value-added cooperatives.
- 29.

30. **6. CREDIT UNIONS**

31. A. We encourage the organization and growth of credit unions.
- 32.
33. B. We oppose any effort to impose income tax on member-owned nonprofit credit unions.
- 34.
35. C. We support maintaining the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund as a separate and inde-
36. pendent agency from other federal deposit insurance systems.
- 37.
38. D. We support the right of all Americans to choose how and where they deposit their earnings and
39. transact their personal financial business.
- 40.

41. **7. COOPERATIVE MERGERS AND CONSOLIDATION**

42. A. Retaining local cooperatives is the last hope of survival for many communities. We urge that local
43. cooperatives consider sharing services. North Dakota Farmers Union, including local and county
44. units, should assist in information and research.

1. B. All joint ventures between a cooperative and a multinational corporation should still return to the
2. original cooperative principles and significantly benefit members of the cooperative. We oppose all
3. mergers between a cooperative and a multinational corporation.

4.
5. C. The State and National Farmers Union organizations should use whatever influence they have to
6. seek enforcement of the laws currently in place that limit monopolies and restrict mergers.

7.

8. **8. QUENTIN BURDICK CENTER FOR COOPERATIVES**

9. A. North Dakota Farmers Union is a long-time supporter of the Quentin Burdick Center for Cooperatives,
10. which provides educational opportunities in the cooperative business model. We urge continued
11. financial and human resource involvement in this important organization by NDFU.

12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24.
25.
26.
27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
32.
33.
34.
35.
36.
37.
38.
39.
40.
41.
42.
43.
44.

1. **SECTION VI. LAND RESOURCES FOR THE FAMILY FARM**

2.

3. Recognizing that land is essential to family farmers and is a finite resource, we believe the following policies
4. must be instituted to equitably share the opportunities of land.

5.

6. **1. LAND OWNERSHIP**

7. A. Farmland must be controlled by family farmers. Laws should prohibit concentration of farmland
8. ownership by corporations, associations, and off-farm interests. We call for strict enforcement of
9. our state's corporation farming laws. These laws must be closely monitored and strengthened so
10. they may continue to preserve production agriculture for family farmers.

11.

12. B. We believe the anti-corporation farming law as initiated in 1931, which was expanded in 1981 and
13. 2019 to allow incorporation of farms by close relatives, should not be further weakened to give
14. additional advantages to non-family farm units or to allow the control of agricultural production to
15. move to off-farm interests.

16.

17. (1) Lands acquired by corporations through mortgages must be divested within a maximum of
18. three years, with an extension of another two years, if leased back to the previous owner.

19.

20. (2) Nonprofit corporations should be allowed to receive land through gifts, estates, etc., but be re-
21. quired to divest themselves of such land within five years. We urge that legislation for ensuring
22. that land mandated for divestiture be sold to family farmers.

23.

24. (3) We oppose the purchase of North Dakota farmland by environmental groups, investment groups
25. and other outside investors. In the event of such purchase, the taxable valuation for property
26. tax purposes shall be no less than the purchase price.

27.

28. C. We support provisions of the state's corporation farming law which prevents corporations and non-
29. farming cooperatives from vertically integrating into the production of agricultural commodities.

30.

31. (1) We support state and federal legislation to define and protect farmers' rights in contracting for
32. agricultural production with commodity buyers, processors and other corporations.

33.

34. (2) Any partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, limited liability limited partner-
35. ship, corporations or cooperatives engaging in the business of agriculture production must be
36. required to disclose all partners, limited partners or stockholders to the Secretary of State of
37. North Dakota.

38.

39. D. We support the existing law restricting non-resident aliens from owning land in North Dakota and
40. call for similar legislation on the federal level. We call for legislation that will require better reporting
41. of such purchases and stronger enforcement by the Attorney General.

42.

43. E. Governmental entities which have acquired private lands must be required to pay in-lieu-of property
44. taxes amounting to 100% of the taxes which would normally be assessed on such property.

1. **2. LANDOWNER RIGHTS IN NATURAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT**

2. We support a comprehensive policy that protects landowners from speculation and unfair contracts in
3. the development of natural resources.

4.

5. A. Prohibition of Non-Disclosure or Secrecy Clauses in Leases

6. Non-disclosure clauses serve only to protect the interests of the contractor/developer. Landowners
7. should be allowed to review leases with attorneys, lenders and other holders of leases to ascertain
8. the relative value of a lease offer.

9.

10. B. Establish a Registry of Current Standard Leases and Make Accessible to the Public

11. A registry allows landowners to compare offered leases with standard leases and better ascertain
12. the relative value of a lease offer. Allows landowners to compare other lease terms with standard
13. leases.

14.

15. C. Prohibit Mandatory Arbitration Clauses

16. Mandatory arbitration requirements are becoming more common in contracts. Prohibiting such
17. clauses in contracts will ensure the right of civil litigation for landowners in lease disputes and helps
18. balance the legal interests of landowners and developers.

19.

20. D. Limiting Length of Lease Options

21. Limiting the term of lease options will prevent companies from tying up large tracts of land for ex-
22. tended periods, thus encouraging use of lease options for actual development instead of speculation.

23.

24. E. Authorize Collective Bargaining of Leases

25. Allows landowners to bargain collectively for standard lease terms throughout a region or develop-
26. ment project. Such a provision would encourage fairness in the application of lease terms among
27. multiple landowners.

28.

29. F. Bonding and Reclamation Protections

30.

31. (1) Encourages responsible energy development and transmission at outset of lease by providing
32. funds up front for reclamation of land after the project has expired.

33.

34. (2) We support the requirement that private surface owners be granted the same surface use and
35. reclamation requirement as the State Land Department mandates for state land.

36.

37. (3) Any reclamation standard should include materials incidental to energy development (e.g.
38. pipelines, saltwater disposal systems).

39.

40. G. Prohibit Prior Investment as Condition of Lease or Option of Fulfillment

41. Discourages use of option development to coerce investment by landowners.

42.

43. H. Farmland Protection

44. Prohibits farmland ownership by energy development or generation companies. Compliance with

1. North Dakota's corporate farming law would ensure that agricultural land remain in the hands of
2. producers and retains the agricultural value of the land used in energy development.
- 3.
4. I. Prohibit Right of First Refusal by Developers
5. Developers should not be able to obtain the right of first refusal in lease options/contracts. Right
6. of first refusal allows a developer to tie up land, and/or reduce marketability of landowner's land
7. without purchasing an option. For example, a developer may purchase options on four out of eight
8. sites on a farmer's property and then takes right of first refusal on the remaining four. Basically, the
9. developer has a de facto option on the remaining four without having to purchase the lease option.
10. The marketability to other developers is reduced because the first developer has the first chance
11. to develop the property.
- 12.
13. J. Disclosure of Actual Lease Payments in Contracts
14. Requires that actual lease payments, potential premiums and formula used to determine said
15. premiums be established and disclosed as a condition of a final lease agreement during negotiation
16. of a contract.
- 17.
18. K. Three-Day Cooling Off Period
19. Allows three-day cooling off period after lease agreement is signed. This allows landowner a window
20. to reconsider if, for example, his attorney has an objection to the contract language.
- 21.
22. L. Prohibition of Severability of Surface Rights and Wind/Carbon/Mineral Rights.
23. Land ownership should not be severed from natural resources associated with the surface.
- 24.
25. M. We recommend there be a provision for a Pugh clause in natural resource development contracts.
- 26.
27. N. Damage Compensation
28. We support a damage compensation law that compensates farm operators and landowners when
29. any drilling plans, pipeline, land disturbance or other resource development affects water, property
30. and other interests.
- 31.
32. O. We support allowing the ND Mediation Service to settle disputes between property owners and
33. energy companies.
- 34.
35. P. We support the protection of private property rights against government takings, including the pro-
36. tection of the pore space. We oppose any attempts by the government to remove a landowners'
37. rights to bring claims for trespass, nuisance, or other torts, and we oppose any attempts by the
38. government to take away a landowners' right to compensation for use of pore space.
- 39.
40. **3. MINERAL RIGHTS**
41. A. Our goal is the restoration of severed mineral rights to the surface owner.
- 42.
43. B. We favor legislation prohibiting credit institutions from separating mineral rights from land that they
44. acquire through foreclosure or other means.

1. C. Owners of severed mineral interests and the lease companies should be required to pay for or
2. reimburse the surface owner for the additional costs and expense paid by the surface owners in
3. re-certification of their abstracts of title.
- 4.
5. D. We urge legislation to divide the present real estate tax so that a percentage would be paid by the
6. surface owner and a percentage be paid by the mineral owner. If the mineral owner should become
7. delinquent in payment of this tax, the severed mineral acres shall be returned to the surface owner
8. upon payment of the delinquent tax.
- 9.
10. E. We recommend that all mineral leases require a royalty payment to the surface owner, as well as
11. to the mineral owner.
- 12.
13. F. We oppose the State of North Dakota trying to acquire mineral right interests under the high water
14. mark of any North Dakota waters.
- 15.
16. G. We support the establishment of an independent oversight study to gauge the compliance with
17. current oil and gas regulations.
- 18.

19. **4. LAND USE**

20. A. We support a comprehensive statewide land use policy with township and county zoning programs
21. to protect agriculture's economic interest and to assure a maximum amount of fertile land for future
22. production of food and fiber. We endorse a land use policy that secures private ownership and
23. proper use of land with minimum government interference. We emphasize that the township and
24. county zoning programs should be the only governing programs regulating zoning.
- 25.
26. B. We support land appraisals being conducted by independent third-party entities and not land man-
27. agement companies who rent out land on behalf of the owners.
- 28.
29. C. We urge legislation that establishes and maintains a central location for a database of zoning ordi-
30. nances that are created by political subdivisions (i.e. counties, cities and townships).
- 31.
32. D. We support the Association of Townships and Counties from loss of local control to other state
33. departments by legislative process.
- 34.
35. E. As farmers and ranchers, we reserve the right to determine the use and future use of our land. We
36. need to explore options that will combine the best management of our land with the best econom-
37. ic decisions for our farms. North Dakota Farmers Union recognizes that easements are one tool
38. farmers may employ to meet both objectives, however, we are opposed to perpetual easements.
- 39.
40. F. Lands acquired for wildlife should be subject to the same obligations to provide routing for utilities,
41. highways, waterway maintenance and other public uses as are privately-owned lands.
- 42.
43. G. Eminent domain should be reserved for public projects. Where eminent domain proceedings may
44. eventually be used, individual notification and public hearings must be held before the project is

1. allowed to be implemented. Severance damages should include payment for the diminution of re-
2. maining land values and increased expenses and inconvenience suffered by affected landowners
3. and operators. All initial court expenses, including attorneys' and appraisers' fees, must be borne
4. by the constructing agency in condemnation proceedings. Consideration should be given to routes
5. which minimize adverse human impact.

6.
7. (1) Increased economic activity, improved economic utilization, increased tax revenues which
8. benefit the public good or other similar language are not sound justifications for the use of em-
9. inent domain and should not be allowed to be defined as such. These represent an unjustified
10. taking/conversion of personal property.

11.
12. H. We support the preservation of section line identity by state and local governments.

13.
14. I. We support archaeological preservation; however, we oppose any act or regulation that creates
15. undo burdens upon local governments and local producers. Therefore, if archaeological surveys
16. and mitigation of sites on private property is a requirement of the federal or state government, those
17. costs should be paid for by the federal or state government.

18.
19. J. We oppose any resolution requiring a tribal monitor to accompany NRCS cultural specialists on all
20. cultural resource inventories conducted, unless the tribe covers their cost.

21.
22. K. We oppose the Forest Service range and environmental impact statement on the national grass-
23. lands, since it creates economic hardship for communities, counties and livestock producers. Since
24. livestock producers have a good track record in conservation, we urge that future draft proposals be
25. accomplished with proper range research and that livestock grazing be given equal consideration
26. with other multiple uses on the national grasslands.

27.
28. L. Companies laying pipeline or burying cable must be responsible for spraying weeks before excavat-
29. ing, planting a cover crop on the disturbed soil and mandatory controlling of weeds for three years
30. to prevent the spread of noxious weeds.

31.
32. 5. **LAND TRANSFER**

33. A. We recommend a state program to facilitate transfer of family farms from one generation to the next.
34. We encourage all members to explore estate planning and transfer of property.

35.
36. B. We recommend that coal companies sell back land in the same size or smaller parcels than the
37. land originally purchased from the landowner.

38.
39. C. We favor the enactment of federal and state tax incentives for landowners to sell or lease land to
40. beginning or smaller-than-average-sized farmers.

41.
42. D. We oppose landowners retaining "hunting rights" after selling their land.
43.
44.

- 1.
2. E. We support the transfer of property from the Corps of Engineers to the original owners or heirs of
3. land taken above the high-water mark in riparian areas or land taken when the Garrison and Oahe
4. Dams were built.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33.
- 34.
- 35.
- 36.
- 37.
- 38.
- 39.
- 40.
- 41.
- 42.
- 43.
- 44.

1. SECTION VII. NATURAL RESOURCES AND THE FAMILY FARM

2.

3. Public and privately-owned land, mineral and other resources should be conserved and administered in the
4. interest of all people.

5.

6. 1. SOIL AND WATER STEWARDSHIP

7. A. Land and water stewardship is part of the social contract between food producers and the rest of
8. the society. Producers have a responsibility to maintain and improve the productivity of the land. In
9. return, they should be rewarded for their stewardship by farm programs which provide a prosperous
10. livelihood for the farm family.

11.

12. B. Farm Service Agency (FSA) and Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) should work
13. to achieve the mutually beneficial objectives of proper stewardship and the maintenance of family
14. farm agriculture.

15.

16. C. Conservation Planning

17.

18. (1) A conservation plan should be jointly developed by the farm operator and the Natural Resources
19. Conservation Service (NRCS) for each farm unit. This plan should designate which highly-
20. erodible soils should not be tilled and which can be tilled with approved conservation practices.
21. The plan should clearly map and document both existing and drained wetlands, as well as any
22. drains and channels. The plan should consider all soil conditions in the conservation of the
23. wetlands, as well as the maintenance of existing drains and channels.

24.

25. (2) Such a conservation planning system should replace the existing sodbuster and swampbuster
26. provisions and should be supervised and approved by the Farm Service Agency (FSA) committee
27. process, with the technical assistance of the NRCS.

28.

29. (3) Producers should be allowed to remedy inadvertent or unavoidable failures to carry out
30. conservation plan practices. Otherwise, penalties should be based on the degree of the violation.
31. Loss of full federal farm program benefits should be imposed only in cases of purposeful
32. destruction of conservation practices.

33.

34. D. Conservation Funding

35.

36. (1) We believe family farmers and ranchers are stewards of the land – that is, we are aware of
37. our impact now and on future generations. We strive for a balance of natural resources and
38. production of food and fuel. That balance is a difficult one to strike, and that's why we are
39. concerned how the word "conservation" is defined and who defines it.

40.

41. (2) We believe that any funding of conservation projects, including projects funded by grants from
42. the oil extraction tax, must meet the following parameters:

43.

44. (a) Oil extraction and production taxes should first be used to address infrastructure, emergency

1. services, education, water, and safety needs.
- 2.
3. (b) Any such fund must not be enshrined in the constitution. Doing so limits the opportunity for
4. public debate and ties the hands of the people.
- 5.
6. (c) The fund must be capped, so that we can continue to evaluate and fund our state's priorities
7. over time.
- 8.
9. (d) The governing structure must include agriculture's voice; NDFU specifically must have a
10. seat at the table.
- 11.
12. (e) The funding opportunities must be made available for programs meeting all definitions of
13. conservation, including stewardship practices on working lands, such as tools that enhance
14. soil health, water quality, plant diversity, and animal systems. These working lands projects
15. should receive priority consideration.
- 16.
17. (f) We also advocate that the fund be forward looking, not only from a conservation perspective
18. but also from a land use perspective. Any such funding must not be used to acquire land.
19. Further, it must not tie up land for more than 20 years.
- 20.
21. (3) From a family farm or rancher's perspective, the land is our livelihood and our legacy. Any
22. public funding for conservation must strike a balance to protect both.
- 23.
24. (4) We urge that half of the funds allocated to the Natural Resources Trust Fund should be des-
25. ignated as a soil conservation trust fund to assist agriculture producers.
- 26.
27. (5) We support adequate funding for soil conservation districts.
- 28.

29. **2. CONSERVATION RESERVE PROGRAM**

30. Conservation programs should be good for the environment, reward stewardship, discourage specula-
31. tive development of fragile land resources, strengthen family farming and enhance rural communities.
32. The Conservation Reserve Program should be extended, providing that:

- 33.
34. A. The most environmentally-sensitive land be given first opportunity for extension.
- 35.
36. B. There are incentives to aid beginning farm and ranch families in obtaining land leaving the Conser-
37. vation Reserve Program.
- 38.
39. C. The new CRP payments be based on local rental rates.
- 40.
41. D. There should be stricter criteria for land to be eligible for CRP. There need to be limits on how much
42. land can be enrolled in CRP in a particular area to limit the impact CRP has on rural communities.
43. CRP should not be a retirement program for farmers. Whole farms should not be able to be enrolled.
44. There should not be more than 35% of the tillable acres in a farm unit enrolled in CRP.

1. E. CRP acreages need to be closely monitored by NRCS with enough funding to enforce contract
2. requirements for adequate weed, insect and fire control, and mandatory noxious weed control.
- 3.
4. F. In times of a disaster declaration, all interested livestock producers must apply to FSA for the
5. opportunity to hay or graze CRP lands. Applications must include a three-year history of livestock
6. animal units. Haying or grazing shall include all program contracts and should be conducted in such
7. a manner as to enhance feed quality and minimize negative impact on wildlife. Areas outside the
8. severely affected areas should be allowed to donate only to the severely affected counties without
9. reduction in payments.
- 10.
11. G. We recommend that all CRP, WRP, etc., be allowed to be hayed or grazed every other year to re-
12. duce the amount of dead grass/vegetation that would promote additional kindling/fuel for wildfires,
13. which would also increase biodiversity.
- 14.
15. H. Conservation Reserve Program funding should not be taken from farm support payments/deficiency
16. payments.
- 17.
18. I. In the case of an existing grass stand, CRP acres that are to be re-enrolled should not have to be
19. reseeded or interseeded with other grass types.
- 20.
21. J. Land managed with appropriate organic standards while enrolled in CRP should be eligible for
22. organic certification upon leaving the program.
- 23.
24. K. We support the establishment of a short-term conservation reserve program of 3-5 years for land
25. that has temporarily lost productivity.
- 26.
27. L. At this time, virgin ground needs to be in production for 3 years before being eligible for the CRP
28. program. We propose that it be changed to 10 years before it qualifies for the program.
- 29.

30. **3. ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY INCENTIVES PROGRAM**

31. The federal program provides cost share for conservation practices that improve groundwater quality,
32. plant diversity, wildlife habitat, animal waste systems and conversion of existing irrigation systems. These
33. are all statewide resource concerns that have been prioritized by the State Technical Committee. The
34. Committee has determined that animal feeding operations are a priority for EQIP funding. We support
35. this program and urge that funds be targeted to small and medium sized farms and ranches.

36. **4. SOIL AND WATER MANAGEMENT**

37. A. We favor allowing county FSA committees the flexibility to adjust the rules for CRP and for cover
38. crop on conserving acres to fit into programs already being used by individual farms to control
39. erosion.
- 40.
- 41.
42. B. We favor continuation and increased federal and state funding of agricultural conservation programs
43. (ACP) and the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) be kept as a stand alone agency.
- 44.

1. C. To encourage more tree plantings, we encourage FSA to include the cost of tree maintenance for
2. the first ten years after planting as part of its cost-sharing program.
- 3.
4. D. We support the Small Watershed Program for proper water management.
- 5.
6. E. Administration of swampbuster provisions should be regulated by one government agency to en-
7. sure that agricultural concerns are fully considered. We strongly support flexibility to allow channel
8. maintenance and clean-out, maintain proper water management and provide an economic incentive
9. to the landowner for retaining wetlands.
- 10.
11. F. We urge that multiple use of federal rangelands be closely monitored to ensure that agricultural
12. usage is maintained at present levels in relation to recreational and other resource usage.
- 13.
14. G. We oppose the wilderness designation of land in North Dakota.
- 15.
16. H. We acknowledge the need to increase river flows when the need arises but believe that the Corps
17. of Engineers needs to be more responsive to potential flooding and its impacts to downstream
18. communities.
- 19.
20. I. We urge the North Dakota Legislature to continue a program in ND to address the soil salinity
21. problem. Salinity specialists should coordinate educational and research efforts among NDSU,
22. Extension, Soil Conservation Districts, NRCS, farm and commodity organizations and others.
- 23.
24. J. We recommend detailed soil surveys and groundwater surveys for our entire state and urge the
25. Legislature to fund these projects.
- 26.
27. K. NDFU supports adequate funding of the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP), EQIP and other
28. conservation programs.
- 29.
30. L. We urge NRCS to implement the CSP program as it was originally designed to reward good stew-
31. ardship and to provide incentives for enhanced conservation efforts.
- 32.

33. **5. WETLANDS POLICY**

34. A. Wetlands of less than one acre should not be under any jurisdiction by state or federal agencies.
- 35.
36. B. The exemption of Type 1 wetlands from swampbuster provisions is a must.
- 37.
38. C. When dealing with farmland, primary consideration should be given to the economic impact on
39. agriculture.
- 40.
41. D. Landowners should be able to move water within the boundaries of their property without regulation,
42. interference or easements.
- 43.
44. E. We support no net gain of wetland acres.

1. F. We urge no net gain of wetland acres in mitigation banking.
- 2.
3. G. We urge funding of the federal and state waterbank programs to eliminate the need for federal
4. acquisition of wetlands.
- 5.
6. H. Any policy developed should recognize the function of wetlands as an agricultural property.
- 7.
8. I. Water outside the boundary of a wetland should be considered sheetwater and not subject, under
9. any circumstances, to jurisdiction by state or federal agencies.
- 10.
11. J. We oppose the Corps of Engineers being given jurisdiction over farmed wetlands.
- 12.
13. K. We oppose the use of special interest groups making wetland determinations.
- 14.
15. L. Federal agencies should be consistent with rules they use to determine wetlands, as well as wetland
16. management practices. The rules should be based on sound science.
- 17.
18. M. The NRCS wetland mitigation process needs to be a simplified process that should be finished
19. within one year.
- 20.
21. N. We urge NDFU to study and hopefully to implement a plan for creating a wetland mitigation bank,
22. even if an easement is required.
- 23.

24. **6. RURAL WATER FUNDING**

25. A. We encourage adequate state and federal funding to support affordable rural water systems,
26. including grants to cities.
- 27.
28. B. We encourage the education of all North Dakotans on the need for a clean, safe and plentiful supply
29. of water.
- 30.

31. **7. STATE WATER POLICY**

32. Since our state's water belongs to all the people of North Dakota, the North Dakota Water Commission

33. should manage our water resources to the greatest advantage to our citizens, with all areas of the state

34. being given equitable consideration.

- 35.
36. A. We call for adoption of a comprehensive state water policy which will allow North Dakota to claim
37. its rightful share of water resources.
- 38.
39. B. We support funding for water projects by the federal government due to the long-standing commit-
40. ment that was made to the people of North Dakota for diversion of Missouri River water.
- 41.
42. C. We believe supplemental state funding of water development projects to be in the best interest of
43. North Dakota.
- 44.

- 1. D. The Devils Lake Basin continues to have problems with excess water and flooding. We support the
- 2. outlet that would bring water levels down to 1,446 feet above sea level in a responsible manner
- 3. for stabilization and emergency relief, as long as the quantity of water being sent downstream is
- 4. controlled.
- 5.
- 6. E. The state should take over partial responsibility for the design, construction, operation and mainte-
- 7. nance of the Garrison Diversion project main delivery system; the objective being to make Missouri
- 8. River water available to the Sheyenne and Red River Valleys, the Devils Lake Basin and the Oakes
- 9. Test Area along the James River.
- 10.
- 11. (1) Garrison Diversion should be primarily a federally funded responsibility and we urge its con-
- 12. tinued funding.
- 13.
- 14. F. We urge that in water and wetland disputes, an unbiased third party be used, such as the North
- 15. Dakota Mediation Service.
- 16.
- 17. G. We support better water management by local, state, federal and international officials to prevent
- 18. flooding.
- 19.

20. **8. FEDERAL AND STATE WATER DEVELOPMENT**

- 21. A. We urge adoption of the following order of preference in the use of Missouri River water:
- 22. (1) domestic and municipal consumption (4) industrial consumption
- 23. (2) agriculture (5) wildlife and recreation
- 24. (3) hydroelectric power (6) navigation
- 25.
- 26. B. We oppose the federal government (Army Corps of Engineers) charging storage fees for North
- 27. Dakota water.
- 28.
- 29. C. We oppose the use of prime farmlands for flood control projects wherever possible. We favor flood-
- 30. ways which require less land acquisition for project works and wildlife mitigation than large dam
- 31. projects. We favor zoning laws prohibiting construction in flood-prone areas. We oppose the use of
- 32. land for the purpose of staging or storing of flood waters as an element of a flood control project,
- 33. without the consent of the affected landowners. If consent is received, adequate compensation must
- 34. be provided; including damages relating to delayed or prevented planting and/or harvesting of crop,
- 35. hay or pastureland.
- 36.
- 37. D. When flood control measures such as dikes, river diversions and dry dams that affect prime ag
- 38. land are proposed, the entities promoting the project must have a detailed independent study of
- 39. the watershed and how the proposed project will affect prime ag land. Then the entities promoting
- 40. the project must use these studies to locate the project to minimize the affect on prime ag land over
- 41. other factors.
- 42.
- 43. E. We oppose broadening the definition of what waters are covered under the Clean Water Act.
- 44.

1. F. We are opposed to the broadening of the federal government's jurisdiction over a group of waters,
2. such as the entire Prairie Pothole Region, simply because the bodies of water are near each other.
3.

4. **9. FISH AND WILDLIFE**

5. A. An upper limit must be established on the total acreage controlled in North Dakota by private, state
6. and federal fish and wildlife agencies. Land acquisitions, easements, mitigation acreages and
7. wildlife projects must be reviewed in the context of a statewide wildlife resources conservation and
8. development plan which is coordinated among the various state and federal agencies. The plan
9. must take into account the agricultural and environmental characteristics of the different regions of
10. the state. An appeal system based on fairness and equity shall be established.

11.
12. (1) The State Industrial Commission, with the advice and consent of county commissioners and
13. other appropriate public officials from the affected areas, should have final review of land
14. acquisitions, easements, etc., in relationship to the statewide wildlife plan.

15.
16. B. We support legislation that would require the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), ND Game
17. and Fish Department (NDGF) and all other public agencies to disclose the actual number of acres
18. within their control, including, but not limited to, owned land, easements, water acres, land acres,
19. refuges and all other tracts.

20.
21. C. We support legislation which would require USFWS and NDGF to disclose all donors and all fund-
22. ing they receive as cost-sharing, matching funds, or in-kind contributions from all private or public
23. entities.

24.
25. D. All wildlife easement contracts taken by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in North Dakota should
26. specify the exact wetlands included under the contract and be renegotiated every 15 years or less
27. or upon change of ownership. We oppose the granting of perpetual wetland easements to the Fish
28. and Wildlife Service.

29.
30. E. We oppose the Fish and Wildlife Service imposing easements on any property acquired by FSA or
31. any government agency.

32.
33. F. We support the comprehensive delineation of pre-1976 easements in order to specifically and
34. definitively determine what land is currently under easement with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

35.
36. G. No governmental agency should exercise the power of eminent domain in obtaining any acreage
37. for mitigation and wildlife. Such acreage should only be obtained on a willing seller basis.

38.
39. H. We support a control and compensation program for crop and livestock damages by wildlife,
40. including the issuance of extra hunting licenses year round to landowners in areas of severe wildlife
41. depredation. These licenses could be used for personal use or resale by the landowner. We further
42. support a bounty program to control predators within the state, funded by the state.

43.
44. I. We encourage Game & Fish to issue the appropriate amount of big game licenses per unit to manage

1. big game populations in that unit.
- 2.
3. J. Any land or restrictive easements held by any government entity should be subject to paying in-
4. lieu-of property taxes amounting to 100 percent of taxes due on that property.
- 5.
6. K. We are opposed to the introduction of non-native fish species into our state's natural waters unless
7. such species are approved by the American Fisheries Society and the North Dakota Legislature.
8. We support the ongoing efforts to limit the entrance and spread of aquatic nuisance species (ANS)
9. in North Dakota.
- 10.
11. L. We recommend that the Fish and Wildlife Service be allowed to burn only if no one can use the
12. grass for haying or grazing. We commend the Fish and Wildlife Service for allowing haying and
13. grazing.
- 14.
15. M. We encourage legislation to limit/restrict the powers of U.S. Fish and Wildlife and the North Dakota
16. State Game and Fish Department and to reorganize these departments to be more cooperative
17. with farmers and ranchers.
- 18.
19. (1) We encourage the Game and Fish Department, wildlife and conservation groups to commu-
20. nicate with landowners and landowner groups to work toward building a better relationship
21. between the hunter and landowner.
- 22.
23. N. We oppose the boundary fencing policy of the Corps of Engineers and the North Dakota Game and
24. Fish Department in the fencing of Lake Sakakawea and Lake Oahe.
- 25.
26. O. We oppose the use of social security numbers on hunting and fishing applications and licenses.
- 27.
28. P. The number of mountain lions are increasing and are a threat to North Dakotans and their livestock.
29. We would like the ND Game and Fish Department to manage numbers as appropriate.
- 30.
31. Q. Due to the increase in waterfowl, the waterfowl hunting season should not restrict any hunter from
32. participating in the full season, including out-of-state hunters.
- 33.
34. R. We recommend a percentage of all hunting license fees be paid to counties and townships for
35. maintenance of roads and the eradication of noxious weeds.
- 36.
37. S. We support the North Dakota Landowner-Sportsman Council and hunter safety programs in their
38. efforts to encourage good hunting practices and respect for property rights and urge increased
39. funding for the Hunter Safety Program.
- 40.
41. T. The landowner or operator should not be held liable for accidents occurring as a result of hunting,
42. visitation or trespassing on their property.
- 43.
- 44.

- 1. U. We believe that all private land should be considered "POSTED." NDFU supports the property rights
- 2. of landowners.
- 3.
- 4. V. The endangered species issue requires a balanced and fair resolution which protects the environ-
- 5. ment, while at the same time considering the economic impact on agriculture and other institutions.
- 6.

7. **10. STRIP MINING AND OIL EXPLORATION**

- 8. A. We believe continuing research and reviews of reclamation methods must be ongoing in order to
- 9. improve the productivity of reclaimed mined land. Modern conservation techniques, which improve
- 10. the productivity of specific problem areas, should be part of an improved reclamation plan.
- 11.
- 12. B. We urge the Legislature to direct the PSC to set a timeline for completion of reclamation and final
- 13. bond release once mining has ceased.
- 14.
- 15. C. The State Industrial Commission should supervise the site restoration required of oil development
- 16. and exploration firms.
- 17.
- 18. D. NDFU supports efforts to protect existing water sources from damages related to seismographing
- 19. activities. We support efforts to restore the 1,320 foot setback requirements from all wells, springs
- 20. and farmsteads.
- 21.
- 22. E. We support increasing the notice requirement for seismograph testing to at least 30 days to allow
- 23. for wells to be tested prior to seismograph testing.
- 24.
- 25. F. We are concerned about the potential environmental damage caused by coal bed methane produc-
- 26. tion and development, and urge environmental impacts be studied prior to permits being issued.
- 27.
- 28. G. We are concerned about the potential environmental damage caused by runoff of surface water
- 29. from oil production locations onto surrounding farmland, and urge regulation by the appropriate
- 30. state agency.
- 31.
- 32. H. We support a study resolution to research and provide recommendations addressing the problems
- 33. with the current regulations that oversee saltwater disposal systems.
- 34.
- 35. I. The use of hydraulic fracturing is an important component in oil drilling and exploration. We propose
- 36. that the names and origins of all chemicals used in that process must be identified as safe with the
- 37. state and that list be made available to the public before they are used.
- 38.
- 39. J. We encourage the state to develop policy overseeing a safe, efficient and organized pipeline gathering
- 40. system for oil, gas and saltwater lines, including pipeline monitoring and leak detection systems.
- 41.
- 42. K. North Dakota law requires the director of Oil and Gas Division (regulating agency) to carry out the
- 43. duties of the director of Mineral Resources Division (promoting agency). We urge that the North
- 44. Dakota Legislature separate the duties of promoting petroleum and regulating petroleum in order to

1. create a checks and balance system that will fully protect the rights of landowners separately from
2. promotion.
- 3.
4. L. North Dakota should make it a priority to reclaim oil well sites instead of holding on to the oil
5. companies' bonds.
- 6.

7. **11. RESOURCE DEPLETION AND IMPACTS**

8. The agricultural, economic, social and environmental impacts associated with energy development must
9. be considered an energy production cost. State or local government should not be asked to subsidize
10. energy consumers by accepting such cost.

11.

12. A. The coal severance tax must be maintained over the coal conversion tax so the economic benefits
13. may be realized within the state. North Dakota should establish a severance tax based on a per-
14. centage of the average cost of coal as determined by a state assessor at the mine mouth.

15.

16. B. We urge the use of a portion of the oil extraction fund monies to fund research and development of
17. renewable energies.

18.

19. **12. ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT**

20. Our land, air, water and soil provide us with the ability to survive and require greater care as population
21. increases.

22.

23. A. We need more information and education concerning the present condition of our water supply and
24. what we can and should do to prevent any further contamination.

25.

26. B. The practice of weather modification should be continually monitored and information provided to
27. the public about the effects weather modification technologies have on our climate.

28.

29. C. We urge that any standards applied to farm fertilizer and chemical run-off should also be applied to
30. all users of chemicals and fertilizers.

31.

32. D. We urge all public facilities to recycle whenever possible.

33.

34. E. We urge legislation that would encourage recycling, including scrap metal, in North Dakota. We
35. also urge greater use of biodegradable products.

36.

37. F. We favor legislation to prohibit the sale of beverages in non-returnable and non-recyclable containers
38. and the institution of a deposit fee to provide a strong incentive for their return.

39.

40. G. We believe that farmers, chemical manufacturers and the N.D. Department of Agriculture should be
41. involved in finding solutions, including recycling, for the proper disposal of crop protection containers.

42.

43. H. We support the disposal of old and unlabeled crop pesticides under Project Safe Send and urge
44. the North Dakota Legislature to fully fund Project Safe Send.

1. I. We are opposed to the importation of out-of-state waste, hazardous or otherwise.
- 2.
3. J. We are opposed to the transportation of food products in containers that have carried incompatible
4. substances such as toxic chemicals or waste.
- 5.
6. K. We strongly urge our country to refrain from signing environmental treaties with other countries
7. without extensive research and open debate within the United States.
- 8.
9. L. We need to promote USDA funding for climate change research.
- 10.
11. M. We are concerned about the growing number and application of regulations concerning storage
12. and use of farm fuels and chemicals. We urge review of these regulations, development of a clear
13. and concise guide to aid compliance with sensible regulations and removal from the law of those
14. regulations which are not enforced.
- 15.
16. N. We urge Congress to pass legislation to exempt agriculture from the EPA fuel oil storage rules.
- 17.
18. O. We urge the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to place more emphasis on preliminary planning
19. assessment with the states and tribes before expanding the Visibility Protection Program through
20. the addition of the regional haze concept, and to repropose a rule addressing a more reasonable
21. and realistic approach to the issues of regional haze.
- 22.
23. P. We encourage EPA to adopt the North Dakota Health Department's finding, utilizing actual air quality
24. monitoring data and improved computer modeling, that the State of North Dakota is in compliance
25. with all EPA air quality requirements for Class 1 areas.
- 26.
27. Q. We recommend that the implementation of federal regulations for air quality by the EPA at coal
28. power plants be stopped and allow Congress and the State of North Dakota to set regulations for
29. emissions from coal-fired power plants.
- 30.
31. R. We support EPA regulation of coal ash as a non-hazardous waste, and strongly oppose the proposal
32. that EPA regulate coal ash as a hazardous waste. Coal ash has beneficial uses as a product in high-
33. way and building construction, and recycling coal ash is often a better alternative than impoundment
34. as an industrial waste. If regulated as a hazardous waste, the beneficial uses of coal ash would be
35. severely curtailed.
- 36.
37. S. NDFU encourages all government officials to visit North Dakota coal-fired power plants, which are
38. some of the cleanest in the world.
- 39.
40. T. We strongly urge mandatory eradication of noxious weeds on oil well locations to prevent spread
41. of noxious weeds onto private farmland and keeping weeds under mandatory control before going
42. to seed and becoming a tumbleweed, causing deterioration of fencelines and farmyards.
- 43.
- 44.

1. **SECTION VIII. ENERGY AND THE FAMILY FARM**

2.

3. Energy is vital to securing our nation’s need for food and fiber. This nation must establish a long-range
4. national energy policy that emphasizes conservation and wise use of our energy resources. We must renew
5. national efforts to reduce our reliance on imported energy and move toward greater energy self-sufficiency.

6.

7. North Dakota Farmers Union supports a balanced, comprehensive energy policy which seeks energy
8. independence for the United States, protects our nation’s environment and recognizes the special needs of
9. America’s agricultural sector. In addition, a national energy policy must reverse the trend toward concentration
10. of ownership and control of sources, production and distribution of energy.

11.

12. In order to reduce our dependence on fossil fuels, development of renewable sources of energy must be
13. a priority. This must include economic and technical assistance for family farmers wanting to transition into
14. increased application of alternative forms of energy.

15.

16. Growth and development of our renewable energy has great potential to provide additional income to North
17. Dakota’s family farmers and ranchers. In addition, our cooperatives can play a leading role in the distribution
18. and marketing of these energy products.

19.

20. **1. NATIONAL ENERGY RESERVE**

21. A. Strategic Petroleum Reserve

22. Petroleum supply disruptions represent a direct threat to this nation’s national and economic security.
23. They are particularly difficult for farmers and those in rural areas who bear the burden of crisis-driven
24. price increases long after the actual disruption. Therefore, we urge prioritization of agricultural petro-
25. leum supplies at competitive prices. These supplies are necessary for the uninterrupted production
26. of our nation’s food supply. We believe it is essential that Strategic Petroleum Reserve supplies be
27. allocated to cooperative and other independent domestic refineries at equitable prices at the early
28. stages of any supply disruption.

29.

30. B. Renewable Energy Reserve

31. We support the creation of a renewable energy reserve to help alleviate our dependence on nonre-
32. newable energy sources and reduce price-depressing supplies of wheat, feed grains and oilseeds.

33.

34. **2. ENERGY DEVELOPMENT**

35. A. Public Power and Resource Development

36.

37. (1) Feasible hydroelectric sites should be investigated for public power projects organized to fully
38. develop and protect all power, irrigation, water utilization, recreation, navigation and other uses.

39.

40. (2) Due to the shortage of electric energy in certain parts of the country, we encourage federal
41. funding for the upgrade and development of transmission lines.

42.

43. (3) Consumer cooperatives and public agencies should be given priority in the allocation and
44. development of publicly-owned energy resources and in research for new energy sources on

1. a deferred bonus or royalty basis to allow these entities to compete for this source of energy.
- 2.
3. (4) We support development of a state-funded refinery in North Dakota, similar to the State Mill
4. and Elevator.

5.

6. B. Research and Development of Alternative Energy Sources

7. We are concerned over our dependence on non-renewable and imported fuels that place our

8. national security in jeopardy. Therefore, we encourage and support research and development of

9. innovative and renewable energy sources such as solar, photovoltaic cells, fuel cells, micro turbines,

10. geothermal, wind, hydrogen, methane, ethanol, biodiesel, solid waste fuels and other renewable,

11. non-polluting energy sources. We support incentive programs for the production of biofuels.

12.

13. (1) Wind Energy Development

14.

15. (a) We recognize North Dakota's vast wind resources and urge development of the state's

16. enormous potential for electricity generation from wind.

17.

18. (b) North Dakota has the ability to supply a significant amount of the nation's electricity needs

19. from our wind; therefore, wind energy holds new income potential for farmers and rural

20. landowners. Because of the income potential for agricultural producers, we encourage

21. efforts to educate North Dakota farmers about their wind rights and issues.

22.

23. (c) While wind turbines are being constructed and maintained, roads should be restored and

24. maintained to their previous condition.

25.

26. (d) When wind energy leases have had no action taken on them after three years, the contracts

27. should be considered ended. When a wind turbine is no longer producing power for one

28. year, the turbine needs to be removed, the land reclaimed, and the roads restored to their

29. previous condition at the expense of the wind turbine/energy company.

30.

31. (e) We support the protection of landowners by requiring wind turbine energy companies to

32. purchase a "Decommissioning Bond" at the time of construction. We support the option of

33. the landowner taking ownership and removing it themselves.

34.

35. (f) The setbacks for nonparticipating landowners should be changed from 1.1 times the tower

36. height to 3 times the tower height.

37.

38. (g) Wind tower setbacks should be a minimum of one-half mile from an occupied dwelling un-

39. less consent is given by all affected property owners within the setback. We need to have

40. state laws concerning zoning regulations describing borders and setbacks.

41.

42. (h) We call for the state of North Dakota to encourage all state agencies and institutions to

43. purchase a portion of its total energy from wind energy generated in this state.

44.

1. (i) We support the landowner's ability to "pool land" with other landowners in an attempt to
2. negotiate better terms and be more involved in the development process.
- 3.
4. (2) Coal Byproduct Development
5. We support the continued operation of the Dakota Gasification Plant for its contribution to the
6. future energy independence of our nation and because of the additional research information
7. it will provide.
- 8.
9. (3) Ethanol Development
- 10.
11. (a) We support the use of ethanol and encourage education as to the benefits of its use.
- 12.
13. (b) We support continued state and federal assistance for alcohol-blended fuels, provided
14. such alcohol is produced and sold in the state of North Dakota from products grown in the
15. United States. Such assistance should be funded from general revenues so that all North
16. Dakotans contribute to the growth of this industry.
- 17.
18. (c) We support policies that encourage the use of ethanol fuel blends including E15, E30 or
19. higher.
- 20.
21. (d) We are opposed to the market concentration within the ethanol industry and encourage
22. the development of cooperative facilities.
- 23.
24. (e) We support allowing Ethyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (ETBE) refiners the ability to claim the ethanol
25. excise tax exemption at the blend point.
- 26.
27. (f) We encourage passage of national energy legislation that does not exempt manufacturers
28. from liability related to Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE).
- 29.
30. (g) We support domestic production of ethanol in the most efficient manner with use of U.S.
31. grown crops.
- 32.
33. (h) We call for ethanol-blended gas to be used in all state-owned vehicles.
- 34.
35. (i) We call for full implementation of the RFS as written and dictated by the original congressional
36. mandate. Any attempts or decisions to reduce ethanol use requirements by administrative
37. agencies or Congress should cease or be returned to their original levels.
- 38.
39. (j) We support the use of blender pumps. The state of North Dakota and our cooperatives
40. have invested heavily in blender pumps. We strongly urge CHS to accommodate local
41. cooperatives' use of blender pumps.
- 42.
43. (k) EPA and all government regulators should immediately reverse statements that say or even
44. indicate it is illegal for so-called non-flex auto owners to fuel with blender (flex) pumps' E30.

1. (4) Biodiesel
- 2.
3. (a) We support the use of biodiesel and biodiesel-blended fuels to increase national security,
4. increase farm income and improve the environment.
- 5.
6. (b) We encourage North Dakota to take the lead in the use of biodiesel. We urge a mandate
7. of 5% biodiesel blends and support programs that promote 20% or higher.
- 8.
9. (c) We support biodiesel research and development of oilseed crops and animal byproducts
10. for use as biodiesel alternative fuels.
- 11.
12. (d) We encourage NDFU to take a lead in the development of the biodiesel industry in North
13. Dakota through leadership and potential investment.
- 14.
15. (e) We support development of a state-funded biodiesel plant in North Dakota.
- 16.

17. (5) Biofuel

- 18.
19. (a) We strongly urge CHS, Inc. to promote the use of biofuels and provide consistent and
20. competitively priced supplies to our local co-ops.
- 21.

22. (6) Solar

- 23.
24. (a) We support rural electric cooperatives with research on solar energy and commend them
25. on their investments.
- 26.

27. C. Diesel Exhaust Fluid (DEF)

28. We strongly urge the implementation and use of DEF pumps at all cooperatives.

30. D. Coal

31. We support the use and development of coal as a low cost and reliable source of energy.

33. **3. ENERGY COSTS**

34. A. We continue to strongly oppose any violation of the long-standing covenants between the government

35. and its citizens which clearly provide that energy be sold at the lowest possible rates to consumers

36. consistent with sound business principles.

37.

38. B. North Dakota's citizens have suffered shortages of energy in a state with ample supplies, we rec-

39. ommend that the state of North Dakota become involved in securing sufficient supplies by owning

40. or leasing conventional or alternative energy production and transmission facilities.

41.

42. C. We support programs that provide grants and/or other incentives to low income families and small

43. businesses for energy audits and energy efficiency/weatherizing.

44.

1. D. We support the North Dakota Petroleum Marketers Association's attempt to enact Fair Competition
2. of Motor Fuels legislation which would prohibit the use of gasoline to be defined as a below-cost
3. seller.
4.
5. E. We fully support the state of North Dakota and its efforts to challenge the federal Clean Power Plan
6. as written. It will cause significant increases in electrical costs for our members. The EPA has failed
7. to give credit for investments already made in environmental controls and renewable resources in
8. our state.
9.
10. **4. PROTECT AND IMPROVE RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES**
11. A. We believe there is a continuing need for low interest loan programs for distribution, generation and
12. transmission cooperatives to assure energy at a reasonable cost to rural consumers.
13.
14. B. We oppose any attempts to dismantle the Rural Utility Services (RUS) program or to privatize the
15. RUS Revolving Fund.
16.
17. C. We support the Federal Financing Bank and emphasize that rural electric and telephone coopera-
18. tives must continue to have and are entitled to have full and complete access to this institution.
19.
20. D. We oppose the sale of federally-owned dams and power generation and transmission facilities.
21.
22. E. We oppose the buyout and sellout of Rural Electric Cooperatives (RECs) to private power companies
23. and urge the state legislature to enact laws to protect RECs.
24.
25. F. We support the Territorial Integrity Act of 1965, which minimizes conflicts among suppliers of electricity,
26. allows orderly development of the state's electric utility infrastructure by minimizing disputes over
27. extensions of distribution lines and avoids wasteful duplication of costly capital investment in utility
28. facilities. In resolving disputes that do arise, the Public Service Commission should consider customer
29. choice, service reliability and avoidance of unnecessary duplication of services or investment.
30. Regardless of whether or how the electric industry may be deregulated or restructured in the future,
31. the Territorial Integrity Act serves the best interests of both rural and urban consumers. Accordingly,
32. we strongly oppose any legislative or regulatory action to abolish or weaken the Territorial Integrity
33. Act.
34.
35. G. Electric cooperatives have a long and successful history of self-regulation by their member-owners.
36. We oppose efforts to bring electric co-ops under the regulation of the Public Service Commission.
37.
38. H. We urge that any mergers by RECs realize the impact on rural communities and service to their
39. consumers.
40.
41. I. We are opposed to attempts to deregulate the electric industry. We specifically oppose retail wheeling.
42.
43. J. We support adjusting the REC in-lieu-of property taxes to provide property tax relief equivalent to
44. the tax relief that investor-owned utilities have received and may receive in the future.

- 1. K. We support reimbursements to electric customers who originally paid for new electrical infrastructure
- 2. if additional customers utilize that service line at a later time.

3.

4. **5. ENERGY DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS**

5. A. Electrical Generation and Transmission of Power

6.

- 7. (1) We believe that the state of North Dakota should study the feasibility of developing, owning and
- 8. managing a high voltage electric transmission line system to distribute our growing renewable
- 9. electric energy resource production throughout our country.

10.

- 11. (2) All high voltage transmission lines should be tied together in a national grid, be given the legal
- 12. status of common carriers and be located on land unsuitable for potential cropland or in close
- 13. proximity to section and quarter lines unless another route can be obtained without use of em-
- 14. inent domain. Prime farmland should have a higher priority as an avoidance area than wildlife
- 15. acreages.

16.

- 17. (3) When new transmission lines are placed, a yearly rental payment to the landowner should be
- 18. established. This would replace the one-time payment that is currently given to North Dakota
- 19. landowners. Periodic increases should be made to rental payments in relation to inflation.

20.

- 21. (4) We urge consumer-owned utilities to coordinate regional and interregional electric planning.

22.

23. **6. CONSERVATION OF ENERGY**

- 24. A. We encourage participation in education and implementation of energy conserving practices.

25.

- 26. B. We support an increase in the current Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) Standards
- 27. provided the technology develops better fuel economy, but not at the expense of vehicle power and
- 28. performance.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

34.

35.

36.

37.

38.

39.

40.

41.

42.

43.

44.

1. **SECTION IX. ECONOMIC POLICY AND THE FAMILY FARM**

2.

3. The government of the United States and the state of North Dakota must have economic policies that provide
4. public services efficiently and economically. Public services should be provided to all people equitably, and
5. the cost of service should be paid by taxes collected in accordance with the principle of the ability to pay.
6. Less of the total tax burden should come from property taxes and more from income and corporate taxes.

7.

8. **1. NORTH DAKOTA LEGACY FUND**

9. A. We believe the North Dakota Legacy Fund should be used to benefit the future of North Dakota
10. and its residents. The use of the Legacy Fund should be prioritized as follows: (1) education, (2)
11. Infrastructure, (3) emergency services, (4) agriculture, (5) conservation, (6) other. We feel that it
12. should not be used for the interests of out-of-state special interest groups, organizations or people,
13. nor should the principal be utilized as a funding source to meet state budget shortages.

14.

15. (1) We support the use of the interest from the Legacy Fund by any school district in the state,
16. based on demonstrated need, to use for school infrastructure.

17.

18. B. We insist that any discussions regarding the use of the Legacy Fund include NDFU, and we urge
19. NDFU to actively participate in representing farmer and rancher interests in those discussions.

20.

21. C. We oppose the use of the Legacy Fund for reduction or elimination of state income tax.

22.

23. **2. FEDERAL FISCAL POLICY**

24. A. We support a pay-as-you-go federal budget. This budget system would require that before new
25. programs are established, a revenue source must also be enacted.

26.

27. B. In order to provide full accountability of tax revenues and government expenditures, the federal
28. budget system must be based on normal business bookkeeping practices. Loans made by federal
29. government agencies should be listed as repayable investments, not current expenditures. Annual
30. operating costs should be separated from capital investments, such as public buildings, highways,
31. multiple purpose water projects, conservation projects, equipment, etc. Amortization and/or depreci-
32. ation schedules should be established for such capital investments and assets. Both the operational
33. and capital budgets of a government department or agency should list the actual net cost of its
34. programs to the taxpayer for that year.

35.

36. **3. MONETARY POLICIES**

37. A. We urge the United States Congress to pass a bill for reduction of our national deficit, which has
38. grown to severe extremes. We do this with grave concern that it is a threat to the future welfare of
39. this country, and feel that it is irresponsible to pass this deficit on to future generations.

40.

41. B. We urge the combination of the following policies to achieve a balanced budget and reduced interest
42. rates:

43. (1) Selective credit controls as needed in order to maintain the ability of farmers and small busi-
44. nesses to make the necessary investments for production.

1. (2) Strict enforcement of antitrust and monopoly laws, together with close scrutiny of corporate
2. mergers and acquisitions.
- 3.
4. (3) Reinstatement and enforcement of a reasonable corporate income tax.
- 5.
6. (4) Investment in new enterprises, rather than for acquisitions or mergers.
- 7.
8. (5) Improved federal purchasing procedures, including competitive bidding to reduce costs and to
9. prevent abuses.
- 10.
11. (6) Establishment of usury laws with limits at affordable rates.
- 12.
13. C. We oppose federal and state legislation which authorizes interstate banking and supersedes the
14. rights of states to regulate the ownership and control of banking within their states.
- 15.
16. D. We support privacy rights which prevent banks and lending institutions from selling, trading, sharing
17. or giving information to anyone, for any reason at any time.
- 18.
19. E. Rural financial institutions have been burdened with excessive regulations aimed at Wall Street banks
20. but that have the unintended consequences of discouraging home lending in rural and underserved
21. areas by locally owned and/or locally-controlled financial institutions. We encourage Congress to
22. create a mortgage law exemption for locally-controlled institutions serving rural and underserved
23. areas from recently enacted federal laws and rules regarding mortgage escrow for high priced loans,
24. mortgage insurance requirements, appraisal requirements, mortgage licensing and registration, and
25. ability to pay/qualifying mortgages.
- 26.

27. **4. FEDERAL TAXATION POLICY**

28. A. We urge that limitations be established to prevent tax-loss farming. We also urge that outside
29. investors be prohibited from using farm losses to offset non-farm income.
- 30.
31. B. We support enactment of permanent legislation to allow self-employed persons to deduct the full
32. cost of their health insurance, including premiums, deductibles, co-pays and long-term care on
33. federal and state income tax returns.
- 34.
35. C. Cash accounting should remain available to family farmers.
- 36.
37. D. We support a capital gains tax that is indexed to inflation.
- 38.
39. E. We would support a capital gains exemption if land is sold to a beginning farmer.
- 40.
41. F. We oppose a value-added tax or other national sales tax proposals because of the regressive nature
42. of such taxes.
- 43.
- 44.

1. G. Farmers and ranchers should be allowed the same one-time capital gains benefit as homeowners,
2. when selling property.
- 3.
4. H. Federal estate tax exemption should be a minimum of \$5.6 million per individual and \$11.2 million
5. per couple, indexed to inflation, to protect the rights of farm and small business people to pass their
6. estate on to their heirs.
- 7.
8. I. Any reduction of federal income tax should be targeted to the first \$50,000 of an individual's taxable
9. income.
- 10.
11. J. We oppose the flat tax concept because it puts family farmers, particularly beginning farmers, at a
12. disadvantage.
- 13.
14. K. We oppose any attempt to require the collection of highway taxes on off-road fuel from farmers and
15. ranchers.
- 16.
17. L. We urge the Legislature to study and find adequate funding to support the present off-road tax
18. refund programs.
- 19.
20. M. We urge the state to increase enforcement of off-road fuel use in highway vehicles.
- 21.
22. N. We support the flexibility of a farmer to defer a portion of their crop insurance proceeds to the next
23. fiscal year.
- 24.
25. O. We encourage the federal government to continue with tax incentives that will increase production
26. of renewable fuels.
- 27.
28. P. We support permanent tax legislation for deductions of expenses accrued on the farm and ranch,
29. and support a \$1,000,000 limit of the 179 tax deduction.
- 30.

31. **5. STATE AND LOCAL TAXES**

32. A. We favor continuation of the current North Dakota sales tax exemptions, especially for food, pre-
33. scription drugs, labor, services, utilities, farm and animal production inputs, used machinery and
34. parts. We also favor a rate lower than the regular tax rate for farm and animal production machinery
35. and equipment.
- 36.
37. B. We oppose eliminating the state income tax. If general fund taxes are to be reduced, the state sales
38. tax should be reduced to give more broad tax relief.
- 39.
40. C. We recommend that the legislature provide funding for state mandated programs that are to be
41. administered on a local or county level.
- 42.
43. D. We oppose any effort to levy charges on utility bills to raise state revenues for the purpose of pro-
44. viding state and local services. We view such provisions as mechanisms designed to make utility

1. bills a method of collecting taxes. We feel this is regressive taxation, a concept we oppose.
- 2.
3. E. We oppose any proposal which would mandate a public vote on every state and local tax or fee
4. increase.
- 5.
6. F. For obtaining federal matching money, we favor relying on gas tax for the match rather than vehicle
7. license fees, as a more equitable source.
- 8.
9. G. We oppose any proposal to increase working capital needs of our petroleum cooperatives by
10. assessing the state highway taxes at the terminal.
- 11.
12. H. Due to commercial and industrial development in rural areas that creates an increased burden on
13. township roads, we support allowing townships the ability to assess and charge repairs to entities
14. causing damage.
- 15.
16. I. We support incentives and tax credits to U.S. producers to move North Dakota forward in the use
17. of renewable fuels.
- 18.
19. J. We do not support a city sales tax to reduce property taxes.
- 20.
21. K. We are opposed to exemptions to the oil extraction and production taxes. Additionally, we oppose
22. reductions in the rate of tax until the state replenishes and addresses unmet needs – including but
23. not limited to infrastructure build-out and repair, property tax relief, education, emergency and health
24. services – in rural North Dakota, and to be forward-looking and invest in the future of the state.
- 25.
26. L. We support the proper taxation of aggregate pits to support local infrastructure.
- 27.
28. M. We support the reinstatement of the oil extraction tax of 6.5% rather than the current 5% level
29. enacted by the 2015 Legislature. We do support the continued elimination of the “trigger.”
- 30.
31. N. We oppose city tax on agricultural inputs.
- 32.

33. **6. PROPERTY TAX**

34. A. Real estate taxes on agriculturally-zoned land should be based on profitability, productivity, use
35. and soil types rather than market value. We call for updates to soil surveys to address productivity
36. changes, including soil salinity.
- 37.
38. B. We favor the exemptions of farm homes and buildings for real estate tax purposes.
- 39.
40. C. We support the existing ND eligibility requirements for farm home property tax exemptions.
- 41.
42. D. We support a balanced tax structure and local control. As a result, we support restructuring the
43. state tax system with property tax relief being a priority. However, we oppose elimination of property
44. taxes and we oppose elimination of income taxes. To meet these goals, we propose increasing

1. the percentage of sales, gross receipts, use, and motor excise tax collections to be deposited in
2. the state aid distribution fund for allocation to political subdivisions. We also support a property tax
3. system that imposes property taxes on the value of minerals.
4.
5. E. We are concerned about the loss of property tax collections due to economic development tax
6. abatements. This amounts to corporate subsidy, pitting one community against another and putting
7. an unfair burden on existing local property owners.
8.
9. F. We support legislation to mandate accountability of city and county economic development corpo-
10. rations.
11.
12. G. We oppose the removal of land from the tax base when it is bought by tax exempted entities and
13. look for impact aid back to counties.
14.
15. H. Because the oil and gas production tax is imposed in-lieu-of property taxes on oil and gas produc-
16. ing properties, NDFU supports 80% of the oil and gas production tax going back to oil producing
17. counties and townships.
18.
19. I. We recommend the North Dakota state legislature rescind the law requiring counties to mail out
20. estimated tax statements to property owners.
21.

22. **7. NATIONAL AND STATE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS**

23. We favor reauthorization of the current federal highway program and preserving the allocation formula
24. which allows rural states to maintain adequate highway construction and maintenance. We support an
25. increase in state gas taxes to further infrastructure needs.
26.

27. A. Rural Road System

28.
29. (1) We favor federal and state government funding of farm-to-market, county and township roads
30. from gasoline or other tax revenue. Priority should be given to roads utilized for moving farm
31. products to regional markets.
32.
33. (2) We urge the state to return 100% of the excise tax to the State Highway Tax Distribution Fund.
34.
35. (3) Federal guidelines for extensive right-of-way and rigid construction specifications for farm-to-
36. market and rural roads must be revised for greater cost benefits and land use efficiency.
37.
38. (4) Our entire state highway system must be preserved and maintained at a quality level. We are
39. against reverting any of our state highways back to our counties.
40.
41. (5) North Dakota collects a use tax on agricultural fuels. We believe this tax should be based on a
42. per gallon rate rather than on a percentage basis. One hundred percent of this tax should be
43. returned to the townships and counties for rural road improvement.
44.

1. (6) Slow Moving Vehicle (SMV) and other warning standards should be used and maintained for
2. farm equipment when traveling on roads.
- 3.
4. (7) We encourage all farmers to use proper precautions, such as flag cars or trailering headers,
5. when moving equipment down public roadways.
- 6.
7. (8) We urge that the state of North Dakota require all aggregate haulers to tarp their trucks.
- 8.
9. (9) Overload fines assessed on transports should go to townships or counties where collected
10. instead of going to the state general fund.
- 11.
12. (10) We urge the state of North Dakota to increase funding of road repairs and maintenance.
- 13.
14. (11) The state of North Dakota should provide:
- 15.
16. (a) Adequate facilities, equipment and personnel for timely snow removal on all state highways
17. to guarantee emergency services and transportation safety for rural residents.
- 18.
19. (b) State drivers license testing and renewal services in remote areas.
- 20.

21. B. Rail Transportation

22. Federal rail transportation policy should recognize that deregulation of railroads cannot be treated in
23. the same manner as deregulation of other industries. Policies should recognize that continuing rail
24. mergers result in elimination of rail service to many communities. This results in the establishment
25. of only one railroad service to entire portions of the country.

26.
27. Regulation of the nation's rail system industry is needed to assure that rural areas will not be denied
28. adequate service, that captive shippers are not charged excessive rates, or excessive fuel surcharges
29. and that railroads will adequately maintain trackage and crossings.

30.
31. We support:

32. (1) Legislation that requires railroad companies to comply with antitrust laws and prove they meet
33. competitive requirements when a merger takes place.
- 34.
35. (2) A reasonable single and three-car rate.
- 36.
37. (3) Legislation that would allow open access to the rail system for a reasonable price.
- 38.
39. (4) The Surface Transportation Board encouraging the railroad to continue the co-loading of rail
40. cars. This in turn should help allow for the continued operation of the smaller elevators.
- 41.
42. (5) Public disclosure of contract freight rates allowed under the Staggers Deregulation Act.
- 43.
- 44.

1. (6) Railroads being responsible for all the damages they cause on their property and eradication
2. of noxious weeds.
- 3.
4. (7) Amtrak services to rural cities.
- 5.
6. (8) Surface and mineral rights going to the adjacent landowner or lessee when lines are abandoned.
7. When land is abandoned by a railroad, it must first be offered to the adjacent landowners at
8. fair market value, as determined by the county in which it is located.
- 9.
10. (9) Railroads being held liable for cars not being delivered to elevators at set times.
- 11.
12. (10) State and federal mandates prioritizing rail service for agriculture commodity needs.
- 13.
14. (11) The Surface Transportation Board enforcing the laws that govern the railroads.
- 15.
16. (12) Efforts to stabilize and safely transport Bakken crude.
- 17.
18. (13) Two engineers on board for all routes.
- 19.
20. (14) Research projects on the impact of railroads on the basis for commodities.
- 21.
22. (15) The removal of tracks that run through state highways and county roads after they have been
23. abandoned for five (5) years.
- 24.

25. We oppose:

26. (1) Inverse freight rates and the use of certificates of transportation due to the lack of competition
27. in railway service in North Dakota.
- 28.
29. (2) Shortening the turn-around time of rail cars at point-of-origin and point-of-destination. Sundays
30. and holidays should not be counted in turn-around time.
- 31.

32. C. Truck Transportation

33. (1) We support exempting livestock and bee haulers from the Electronic Logging Devices (ELD)
34. law.
- 35.
36. (2) We urge adoption of uniform state standards for truck weight and length limits.
- 37.
38. (3) Load limitations should be enforced to reduce the damage to our roads and highways.
- 39.
40. (4) We support raising the mile limit for commercial drivers license exemptions from 150 miles to
41. statewide for farmers and ranchers transporting their own agricultural product.
- 42.
43. (5) We support allowing persons 16 and older a CDL (Commercial Driver's License) for custom
44. harvest purposes only.

1. (6) We urge the N.D. DOT to address the needs of all communities caused by the loss of rail
2. service.
- 3.
4. (7) To prevent unsafe driving by truckers, we urge the elimination of incentive pay based on the
5. number of loads delivered.
- 6.

7. D. Water Transportation

8. (1) We believe that reliable navigation structures on the Upper Mississippi are imperative to the
9. welfare of agriculture and industry for transportation of grain and raw material, especially
10. fertilizer.
- 11.
12. (2) Because water transportation benefits the entire society, we oppose user fees for financing
13. construction or operation of waterways or ports.
- 14.
15. (3) We support efforts to keep the Twin Ports and St. Lawrence Seaway competitive with other
16. ports by building a larger seaway to support larger cargo ships.
- 17.
18. (4) We support efforts to deepen and maintain ports in the Pacific Northwest, including those that
19. load commodities in the Puget Sound and Columbia River area.
- 20.

21. E. Air Transportation

22. (1) We urge that airline service to our cities be maintained and improved, and also that rural areas
23. are not penalized in airline rate structures.
- 24.
25. (2) We urge that rural representation be a requirement for any airport authority supported by rural
26. taxes.
- 27.

28. F. Bus Transportation

29. (1) We support an interstate bus transportation system to be provided and maintained.
- 30.

31. **8. TELECOMMUNICATIONS**

32. A. We urge congressional support for the maintenance of a telephone industry structure which

33. preserves the ability of rural telephone systems to offer quality telecommunication services to all

34. rural subscribers at reasonable rates.

35.

36. B. We endorse efforts to retain the existing principle that long distance toll carriers pay an equitable

37. share for use of local telephone exchanges. We urge uniform toll rates, equitable payment by

38. competing telecommunication services for their direct or indirect use of local exchange facilities and

39. full authority for rural telephone co-ops (RTCs) to provide a complete range of broadband services.

40.

41. C. We support the establishment and preservation of an enhanced 911 system in all of North Dakota,

42. including cellular service and the continued development of text messaging 911 support.

43.

44.

- 1. D. We support efforts to provide competitively-priced, high-speed broadband access to the internet for
- 2. rural Americans.
- 3.
- 4. E. We strongly urge communication providers to add towers to provide reliable service for all areas of
- 5. North Dakota with priority given to areas that rely on cellular services for emergency personnel.
- 6.
- 7. F. We urge all rural telephone cooperatives to amend their bylaws to admit all customers to full
- 8. membership.
- 9.
- 10. G. We encourage the North Dakota Attorney General to place emphasis on educating the public on
- 11. how to protect themselves from and report telephone/cell phone scams.
- 12.

13. **9. TRANSPORTATION SAFETY**

- 14. A. We support requiring railroads to erect warning light signals on all crossings near schools.
- 15.
- 16. B. We recommend the Highway Department cooperate with the railroad and share the cost of erecting
- 17. automatic warning signals at the more dangerous crossings.
- 18.
- 19. C. We encourage reflective strips be placed on all rail cars so they may be seen at night at rail crossings.
- 20.
- 21. D. We support safe driving practices which include Defensive Driving Courses and Alive at 25.
- 22.
- 23. E. We recommend the highway department install rumble strips on all paved roads.
- 24.
- 25. (1) The highway department should monitor the depth of rumble strips to stay within the recom-
- 26. mended guidelines.
- 27.
- 28. F. Cell phone use, including texting, while driving not only endangers drivers and their passengers, but
- 29. also others that are on the road. Using cell phone media devices while driving should be prohibited
- 30. in North Dakota.
- 31.
- 32. G. As a result of the nature of rural lifestyle, we support legislation that allows drivers to obtain licensing
- 33. at 14 years old.
- 34.

35. **10. INSURANCE**

- 36. A. We urge the current Auto Accident Reparations Act (Modified No-Fault) be amended to include a
- 37. formula to compensate self-employed individuals for loss of earnings based on their annual income.
- 38.
- 39. B. We recommend that the North Dakota Legislature enact into law a requirement that all custom
- 40. operators carry liability insurance to protect the farmer who hires them.
- 41.
- 42. C. We urge that liability against political subdivisions be limited to cases of gross negligence.
- 43.
- 44. D. We support a mandate from the state of North Dakota to all car insurance companies doing business

1. in the state to notify the state when a vehicle insurance is allowed to lapse.
- 2.
3. E. We believe there should be limitations established on the awards provided in liability and medical
4. malpractice cases.
- 5.
6. F. We urge the investigation of all repeat worker compensation claims.
- 7.
8. G. We oppose the privatization of Workforce Safety and Insurance. We recommend that WSI stay
9. under the governor's oversight.
- 10.
11. H. We support Workforce Safety and Insurance that is fair and equitable to both the employer, workers
12. and volunteers.
- 13.
14. I. We urge Congress to pass legislation that will help insurance and re-insurance companies cover
15. claims from acts of terrorism.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33.
- 34.
- 35.
- 36.
- 37.
- 38.
- 39.
- 40.
- 41.
- 42.
- 43.
- 44.

1. **SECTION X. TECHNOLOGY AND THE FAMILY FARM**

2.

3. Farmers and ranchers are open to the opportunities that technology can create in their operations. However,
4. the benefits of new technologies must be weighed against costs and risks involved.

5.

6. **1. NEW AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES**

7. A. We urge continued research and funding of alternative agricultural technologies and sustainable
8. systems that would benefit family farmers.

9.

10. B. We support the use of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs or drones) for agricultural uses. But urge
11. any data collected from UAVs remain private and secure unless chosen otherwise.

12.

13. C. We believe that precision agriculture creates possibilities for producers to implement both
14. cost-effective and conservation practices on their land.

15.

16. D. We support “fair repair and right to repair” legislation that would allow farmers and independent
17. mechanics access to diagnostic software, information and other tools in order to repair modern
18. equipment.

19.

20. **2. BIOTECHNOLOGY OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES**

21. Biotechnology, genetically modified or enhanced organisms, gene therapy and transgenics are terms of
22. increasing importance to producers. Biotechnology affects a broad range of issues including production,
23. income, trade, farm business structure, environment and food safety.

24.

25. A. We are opposed to biotechnology being used to accelerate structural changes in U.S. agriculture
26. that leads to further vertical integration of agribusiness and results in an even smaller share of the
27. revenue from production going to the producer.

28.

29. B. We acknowledge concerns that biotechnology is being used as a trade barrier, however, we respect
30. other nations’ sovereignty and food policies and urge open dialog, cooperation and understanding
31. in trade negotiations relating to biotechnology.

32.

33. C. We recognize that biotechnology presents both opportunities and risks for producers and consumers;
34. therefore, we urge each application of biotechnology and its impact to be weighed individually, rather
35. than accepting or rejecting biotechnology as a whole. In addition, we urge all individual applications
36. to be evaluated as to its overall impact, including any economic, social, political, environmental,
37. health and safety issues that may arise due to the new technology. Genetic material resulting from
38. plant breeding and biotechnological research done in publicly funded institutions must be disclosed
39. and remain in the public domain rather than private patents.

40.

41. D. We encourage breeding of biotech and hybrid seed varieties by our land grant universities to promote
42. market competition.

43.

44. E. We recognize that sound, publicly-supported scientific research is necessary to answer the many

1. questions which exist, and emphasize that any research plots must be isolated to prevent any possibility of contamination to our breeder, foundation, registered, certified and organic seed stocks.
- 2.
- 3.
4. F. We support the state, Congress and the Secretary of Agriculture imposing a moratorium on the
5. introduction, importation, certification and commercialization of genetically-engineered wheat,
6. including all classes of wheat, until issues of cross-pollination, liability, commodity and seed stock
7. segregation, and market acceptance are adequately addressed.
- 8.
9. G. We support legislation to exempt farmers from paying royalties on patented farm animals and tech-
10. nology fees on seeds that have been genetically modified.
- 11.
12. H. We oppose the further use of tax dollars in developing terminator technology, e.g., a gene to ensure
13. that seed will not reproduce. We urge legislation to prohibit the development and selling of seed
14. that is sterile. We support the right of farmers to plant seed derived from proprietary organisms on
15. their own land.
- 16.
17. I. Companies owning the patent on transgenic crops must be legally responsible for damages caused
18. by genetic trespass rather than holding farmers solely responsible for damages.
- 19.
20. J. We encourage Congress and our state legislature to regulate the biotech industry's technology
21. agreements. Farmers should not have to sign away their fundamental right to a jury of their peers
22. in court in exchange for the privilege of growing biotech crops. Grievances should be settled in the
23. home state of the farmer not the state of the biotech corporation.
- 24.
25. K. We urge all seed dealers and grain elevators in North Dakota that handle flax to conduct tests to
26. determine if they have Triffid contaminated stocks. We urge state and federal officials to explore
27. effective methods to curtail the presence of GMO flax in both seed and harvested flax.
- 28.

29. **3. FARM DATA COLLECTION AND PRIVACY**

30. We believe that data relating to our operations is important to protect and is key to our livelihoods and
31. agricultural advancements. As a result, it is vital for producers to take a proactive stance on who is using
32. their information, why they are using it, and how it is being used.

33.
34. We support:

35. A. The use of contractual agreements that are concise and easy for producers to understand.
36. B. Producers owning their own information.

37.
38. We oppose:

39. A. The use of farm data to manipulate markets.
40. B. Private data being used against the farmer's wishes or knowledge.
41. C. The sharing of farm data with any business unless it is farmer approved.

42.
43.
44.

1. **SECTION XI. SOCIAL POLICY AND THE FAMILY FARM**

2.

3. National, state and local government should constantly strive to preserve and expand freedom of thought,
4. speech, radio, television and press, freedom of secret ballot, religion, assembly, freedom to organize and to
5. petition the government for a redress of grievances.

6.

7. **1. CIVIL RIGHTS AND LIBERTIES**

8. A. We support equal rights for all, regardless of gender, sexual orientation, age, race, creed, occupation
9. or national origin.

10.

11. B. We are concerned about the constitutional amendment guaranteeing farmers the right to farm using
12. modern farming practices. This raises questions like who defines the term modern and does this
13. right to farm supersede local zoning authority. Because of the vagueness of the language, we are
14. opposed to this amendment until further clarification is provided.

15.

16. C. We urge Congress to continue to ensure oversight of government surveillance in the United States,
17. and to investigate violations that occur so that we do not destroy the very rights and freedoms that
18. have made our nation great.

19.

20. **2. IMMIGRATION**

21. A. We must be able to secure our borders.

22.

23. B. Immigrants are part of both our rural and urban communities. We must adapt to this reality and
24. recognize the critical role immigration has played in our nation's history.

25.

26. C. Policies must provide a reasonable path to citizenship for those who are here illegally, and are of
27. good character, pay taxes, and are committed to become members of our society.

28.

29. D. We encourage people to seek permanent residence legally by applying for citizenship with all the
30. rights and responsibilities that accompany it. We encourage programs for new citizens to explore
31. American culture/society. We also encourage programs for citizens that fosters acceptance of all
32. cultures into American society.

33.

34. **3. GOVERNMENT REFORM**

35. We urge the following reforms in our government:

36.

37. A. Enforcement of a code of ethics for all members of all branches of government. We support the
38. formation of an ethics committee with oversight of the North Dakota legislature.

39.

40. B. The United States of America has always been special because of the rights and freedoms that our
41. citizens enjoy. In defending our country, we should not destroy those very rights and freedoms. We
42. therefore urge Congress to ensure further oversight of government surveillance in the United
43. States, including information of what violations might have been committed.

44.

1. C. We oppose term limitations because they are a limitation on the rights of citizens to choose and
2. elect their public officials.
- 3.
4. D. We support the concept of public financing of political campaigns of all state and federal offices.
5. We feel there is a need for campaign finance reform, starting with spending limitations. Candidates
6. should disclose all campaign contributions and they should not be able to use campaign funds
7. for personal use. We are opposed to the ruling by the United States Supreme Court that makes it
8. possible for a corporation to be considered as an “individual” in regard to campaign contributions.
- 9.
10. E. We believe political campaigns should have a time limit and candidates should be held responsible
11. for making false claims.
- 12.
13. F. Political campaigns should abide by the “do not call” list. Furthermore, this provision should roll over
14. into a “do not text” list.
- 15.
16. G. News media should be prohibited from broadcasting results of balloting until the polls are closed in
17. all districts, counties and states.
- 18.
19. H. The reapportionment of legislative districts should cross as few county lines as possible and seek
20. to retain communities of common interest within district boundaries. We support the concept of one
21. senator from each county to give geographical balance to our legislature.
- 22.
23. I. We support mandating a non-partisan independent commission to determine state legislative lines
24. after each census. We prefer to elect our legislators instead of legislators choosing their constituents.
- 25.
26. J. We recommend that county commissioners be elected from specific districts.
- 27.
28. K. We support legislation to allow county commissioners to consolidate or eliminate county offices only
29. if cost savings may be realized and there is minimal negative impact on services.
- 30.
31. L. We urge that constitutional measures be simplified and presented to the voters in a clear and con-
32. cise manner at general elections.
- 33.
34. M. The privilege of initiated measures on the North Dakota ballot is too readily abused. We encourage
35. voters to directly address the legislature with concerns and only after that seek relief through the
36. initiated process. We further believe our state’s constitution is a document of core beliefs that should
37. only be amended for compelling reasons.
- 38.

39. **4. EDUCATION**

40. Every child in our nation should have equal opportunity to obtain the most effective education that can
41. be provided by the nation’s resources. We recognize and appreciate the quality of education provided
42. by our rural schools.

43.
44.

1. A. Free public education must remain a goal of school boards and administrators. Special fees discrim-
2. inate against those who have the greatest need for the broadest educational opportunities. Such
3. charges should be borne by all citizens and not individual students.
- 4.
5. B. Our schools need to focus on their primary goal of education, therefore we urge greater emphasis
6. on classroom work, music and the arts, and less emphasis on athletic activities.
- 7.
8. C. We believe it is imperative that our public schools greatly improve and emphasize education in civics
9. to improve knowledge about all levels of government.
- 10.
11. D. The instruction of cooperative objectives and philosophy should be made available to all students.
- 12.
13. E. We support continuing education to train students in cooperative management at North Dakota
14. colleges and high schools.
- 15.
16. F. State funding for elementary and secondary schools should be based on at least 70 percent per pupil.
17. We oppose increased reliance on local property taxes for funding schools because it discriminates
18. against rural schools and places an unfair burden on property owners. Additional financial support
19. for educational programs, teacher salaries or teacher qualifications mandated by the state or federal
20. governments should be provided by the state and federal governments so that local school districts
21. may also preserve and expand educational programs.
- 22.
23. G. We would support legislation that will allow all school districts in North Dakota an equal opportunity
24. to participate with after-school programming.
- 25.
26. H. We support alternatives such as joint powers agreements to reduce expenses, including but not
27. limited to, the sharing of administration, programs, teachers and communications technology. We
28. also support cooperating or consolidating school districts if it ensures a higher-quality education
29. and/or becomes economically necessary. Institutions of higher education should be eligible to be
30. members of joint powers agreements.
- 31.
32. I. School districts must provide transportation at no cost to rural school patrons through state funding
33. of school busing expenses.
- 34.
35. J. Special consideration needs to be given to the length of school bus rides.
- 36.
37. K. We would support a bill that appropriates the money earned from the leases of land owned by the
38. State of North Dakota to be directed to the school districts which are in the boundaries of such
39. leased land.
- 40.
41. L. We believe that local school boards should determine when to start public school according to the
42. best interest of the students' education.
- 43.
- 44.

1. M. In school dissolutions and annexation hearings, we ask that all property owners wishes be consid-
2. ered. We believe that the future of rural schools should be determined locally.
3.
4. N. We support legislation that allows school districts flexibility in scheduling school calendars.
5.
6. O. We urge our local school boards and administration to implement crisis planning and safe schools
7. training for their schools.
8.
9. P. We support adequate funding for North Dakota higher education.
10.
11. Q. All of the state’s academic institutions should be administered to allow complete flexibility on student
12. programs with all credits transferable.
13.
14. R. We urge continuation and expansion of low interest student loans and other types of financial
15. assistance for college students. Eligibility for financial assistance should be based on earnings.
16.
17. S. We urge the NDFU Board of Governors and NDFU Board of Directors to explore the feasibility of
18. sponsoring an agricultural program in our schools in the form of a lyceum and other forms of ag
19. education.
20.
21. T. We urge the North Dakota Legislature to provide funding for at least five students to complete
22. veterinarian school. Those receiving these funds must return to North Dakota and be a practicing
23. large animal veterinarian for a minimum of five (5) years.
24.
25. U. NDFU urges the state of North Dakota to stop imputing oil and gas tax money received by oil
26. impacted schools.
27.
28. V. We urge the creation of a state program that provides the full or partial reimbursement of education
29. costs or student loans to in-state students who graduated from an in-state university, whom upon
30. graduation remain living and working in North Dakota for at least five (5) years.
31.
32. W. With the teacher shortages and many courses unavailable to rural schools, we support the use of
33. online education in North Dakota with the opportunity to receive dual credit.
34.

35. **5. HEALTH**

36. A. An affordable, comprehensive health plan should be developed that will enable all citizens to be-
37. come fully participating members of medical, mental, dental, vision, hospitalization, long term care
38. and prescription drug benefits.
39. (1) It is imperative that we keep coverage for pre-existing conditions.
40.
41. (2) We oppose lifetime caps.
42.
43. (3) We insist the cuts in subsidies to the Affordable Care Act (ACA) be reinstated.
44.

1. B. We support current and future initiatives to provide reimbursement for the full cost of providing rural
2. health care.
- 3.
4. C. We encourage North Dakota Farmers Union to begin studying the establishment of a group health
5. plan for its members to participate in and lobby for law changes that would allow membership
6. associations to offer group health insurance to its members.
- 7.
8. D. Long-range planning for health facilities and services must be continued and funded to prevent
9. costly duplication, to ensure availability of health care, to monitor costs and to give the consumer
10. a voice in the health care system.
- 11.
12. E. We encourage our congressional delegation to improve the medication coverage in Medicare
13. Part D.
- 14.
15. F. Private-pay residents of nursing homes and health care facilities should pay no more than the same
16. rates as those who receive public assistance.
- 17.
18. G. To guard the future good health and wellness of Americans and to realize cost savings, long-term
19. planning must assure that:
- 20.
21. (1) Health promotion and education is given high priority because lifestyle choices and wellness
22. are directly connected.
- 23.
24. (2) Third party reimbursement may be charged for services provided by any health professional,
25. including registered nurses, nurse practitioners and physician's assistants to allow improved
26. access to home health care, health promotion and health maintenance. This reimbursement
27. may also be provided for resident care givers.
- 28.
29. (3) New uses must be created for those facilities that are not needed or that cannot financially
30. keep up with today's technological demands.
- 31.
32. H. Nationally short term health insurance plans are allowed up to a thirty-six (36) month coverage period.
33. North Dakota is currently only six (6) months. Farmers Union believes that the coverage should be
34. extended to a minimum of twelve (12) months to match up with the open enrollment period.
- 35.
36. I. Emergency Services
37. (1) Emergency services, training and equipment must be funded. Our rural communities depend
38. on volunteers who need continuing education and support. The state should allow Rapid
39. Response Units to transport individuals in need of care to medical centers.
- 40.
41. (2) We urge North Dakota to develop a volunteer emergency personnel compensation and a
42. retirement plan.
- 43.
- 44.

1. (3) We urge the state legislature to provide insurance coverage if emergency personnel are injured
2. while on a call.
- 3.
4. (4) We support permanent funding for ground and air ambulance services in North Dakota.
- 5.
6. (5) North Dakota should standardize its 911 address system.
- 7.
8. (6) We support a cap on air ambulance fees.
- 9.
10. J. We support establishment of nonprofit member-controlled health maintenance organizations (HMOs)
11. and other health cooperatives to serve rural and urban areas in North Dakota.
- 12.
13. K. We urge adequate state funding of CHIP (Children's Health Insurance Program), and we urge the
14. state to maintain minimum eligibility to 220% of poverty level.
- 15.
16. L. We support allowing any North Dakota resident to buy into the state health insurance program (ND
17. PERS).
- 18.
19. M. We support efforts to provide smoke and vape-free establishments.
- 20.
21. N. We urge that a portion of the tobacco settlement be used in prevention of tobacco use and health-
22. related issues.
- 23.
24. O. We support the teaching of CPR and first aid in grade schools and high schools for students and
25. adults.
- 26.
27. P. We support provisions by the federal and/or state departments for radon testing and water well
28. testing for toxic chemicals.
- 29.
30. Q. We support the licensing of hospices with some flexibility in the law so hospices can be a service
31. in rural areas. Likewise, we encourage our membership to promote hospice care as an end-of-life
32. alternative and to financially support hospice care in their communities.
- 33.
34. R. Since North Dakota Blue Cross/Blue Shield has been granted the right to become a nonprofit mutual
35. insurance company, we should monitor any legislation that may be introduced that would enable
36. them to change their status from a nonprofit mutual company to a for-profit mutual company or a
37. stock company.
- 38.
39. S. We urge Congress to fully fund out-patient clinics and hospitals for veterans.
- 40.
41. T. We urge the State and National Farmers Union to lobby for methods of payment that will ensure
42. adequate vaccination coverage for our children.
- 43.
44. U. We support the state approving the use of marijuana for medical and medical research purposes

1. only. We strongly support that it is extensively researched, so that during production it is determined
2. to be as safely produced for the patient as possible. This includes research on everything from the
3. growing stage to consumption phase, and all to be controlled, monitored and regulated by the state.
4.

5. V. We oppose legalization of recreational marijuana.
6.

7. **6. DAY CARE CENTERS**

8. A. We support funding of programs to enable day care centers to achieve and maintain affordable
9. rates, in addition to ensuring a safe and healthy environment.
10.

11. B. We support legislation that addresses the day care shortage in our state. Further, we support pro-
12. grams and legislation aimed at reducing day care costs.
13.

14. **7. NATIONAL AND STATE PROGRAMS FOR ELDERLY AND LOW INCOME PERSONS**

15. A. We urge Congress to make funds available to provide jobs for elderly low income persons in worth-
16. while and well accepted programs and senior community service projects. We would further urge
17. the Legislature of North Dakota to enact legislation that creates a similar program on a state level
18. to supplement the federal programs.
19.

20. B. We oppose borrowing from Social Security and Medicare funds for other purposes. Until this practice
21. stops, we believe any money borrowed from these funds for general fund purposes should be
22. replaced with general fund dollars. There should not be an increase in Social Security or Medicare
23. taxes or a reduction in benefits to replace this money.
24.

25. C. We urge a continuation of the efforts to correct an inequity in Social Security benefits for recipients
26. born during the notch years (1917 to 1921) which results in reduced entitlements for basically the
27. same level of contributions.
28.

29. D. We oppose allowing individuals to invest a portion of their Social Security taxes in private invest-
30. ments.
31.

32. E. We urge Congress to change Social Security eligibility requirements so that individuals who haven't
33. worked outside the home long enough qualify for benefits.
34.

35. F. We urge Congress to change the amount of Social Security benefits individuals should receive in
36. the case of death or disability of a spouse to the maximum amount they are entitled to plus half of
37. their spouse's benefit.
38.

39. G. We urge Congress to change laws so that spouses who are equal business partners are able to
40. collect equally on the Social Security tax that was paid in as a result of that business.
41.

42. H. We urge Congress to remove the salary cap on the Social Security tax for wages earned.
43.

44. I. We support the elimination of taxation on social security benefits.

1. J. We urge effective cost controls for Medicare and Medicaid, however, we oppose stringent requirements which pre-determine the health services a patient may receive.
- 2.
- 3.
4. K. Programs such as the Work Incentive Program need greater emphasis and funding in this nation's
5. social service efforts to assist families to move out of the poverty and public assistance cycle.
- 6.
7. L. We support continued funding for the North Dakota Displaced Homemaker Program.
- 8.
9. M. We support the current heating assistance program for low income and elderly persons.

10.

11. **8. NUTRITION**

12. A. We urge continuation of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and the free and
13. reduced cost school lunch program for all eligible students.
- 14.
15. B. We support the free milk program in our school systems. We encourage the continued availability
16. of flavored milk in our school systems.
- 17.
18. C. We encourage educational programs to promote wholesome diets consisting of cereal, meat, dairy,
19. fruits and vegetables and other agricultural products.
- 20.
21. D. NDFU encourages the state Legislature and Congress to work toward federal, state and local pol-
22. icies to bring wholesome, fresh, local foods into schools and develop processing and distribution
23. capacity to meet that need.
- 24.
25. E. We urge the expansion of USDA commodity distribution programs, to improve school and other
26. nutritional programs.
- 27.
28. F. We support the use of American products in state institutions.
- 29.
30. G. We support the Meals-on-Wheels program.

31.

32. **9. LABOR RELATIONS**

33. A. The rights of all employees to organize and bargain collectively should be protected. We support
34. the Equal Employment Opportunity Act. We urge amending the Taft-Hartley Act to improve the ne-
35. gotiating process to protect the interests of farmers in prolonged disruptions in the grain marketing
36. and transportation system.
- 37.
38. B. We support a reasonable minimum wage for labor.
- 39.
40. C. The North Dakota Farmers Union is opposed to and requests the elimination of the Multiemployer
41. Pension Reform Act of 2014 (MPRA) in HB 83, which was called the Kline-Miller amendment.
- 42.

42.

43. **10. SOCIAL PROBLEMS**

44. A. We support the task force on drug trafficking and human trafficking to work with law enforcement

1. agencies in every North Dakota county and city. The state of North Dakota should pay the costs
2. of prosecuting cases since this is a statewide concern and limited local resources slow or stop
3. enforcement.
- 4.
5. B. We urge that a portion of the profits from gambling be set aside to combat addiction and other social
6. problems.
- 7.
8. C. We support a national registry and a strict monitoring program for sexual offenders.
- 9.
10. D. We oppose legalization of internet gambling in the state of North Dakota.
- 11.
12. E. We support efforts to bring awareness to mental health issues in North Dakota, with a special
13. emphasis on suicide prevention.
- 14.
15. F. We support programs that assist with the relief of farm stress and suicide prevention.
- 16.
17. G. We believe that bullying is a significant issue in North Dakota, and more awareness should be
18. brought to the issue.
- 19.
20. H. We are concerned about the opioid crisis in North Dakota and the nation. We call on our local, state,
21. and federal governments to address this issue and fully fund programs that provide prevention
22. education and treatment options.
- 23.

24. **11. PROPERTY ABUSE**

25. A. Due to the abuse of public and private property by vandalism and trespassing, we recommend
26. punishment and penalties for such crimes to include full restitution of damages.
- 27.

28. **12. U.S. POSTAL SERVICE**

29. A. Because we are losing farm population, the U.S. Postal Service is reducing service, both in route
30. cuts and post office business hours, in rural areas. We urge the U.S. Postal Service to provide equal
31. service to those remaining rural residents. We encourage the Postal Service not to determine mail
32. routes based on population or mileage.
- 33.
34. B. We request that Congress correct the difficult situation they have imposed on the United States
35. Post Office when they required excessive advance funding of pension funds.
- 36.

37. **13. NORTH DAKOTA HERITAGE**

38. We support the work of the State Historical Society of North Dakota Foundation and its objectives of
39. promoting and improving the North Dakota Heritage Center and preservation of history across the state.
- 40.

41. **14. FLAG ETIQUETTE**

42. We urge the proper display and respect of the United States flag, the state flag of North Dakota, and
43. support the reciting of the Pledge of Allegiance as currently written in our school systems. We also
44. support the performing of the National Anthem at public events.

1. **15. THE WORLD WE LIVE IN**

2. A. The United States has a responsibility as a world leader to continue support for the United Nations
3. and its specialized agencies for the development of coordinated programs to expand and strengthen
4. the economies of the nations of the world.

5.
6. B. The goals of our nation's foreign policy and the United Nations should be to promote the attainment
7. of human rights and aspirations, the recognition of the rights of self-determination, the elimination of
8. cultural barriers that interfere with the free flow of information, ideas and persons, and the sharing
9. of technical and economic aid.

10.
11. C. We call upon our government to exhaust every channel through the United Nations to reach peaceful
12. solutions to international problems.

13.
14. D. We strongly urge participation in and recognition of World Food Day established as an annual event
15. through the United Nations.

16.
17. E. We acknowledge the burdens, dangers, and sacrifices of America's military personnel and appreciate
18. their service to our country.

19.
20. F. We support efforts to establish a mutually verifiable international freeze on the development and
21. production of nuclear weapons and on new weapons systems.

22.
23. G. We support foreign aid programs of food aid, and urge that such aid be done in ways that do not
24. damage the livelihood of third world farmers.

25.
26. H. We deplore the exploitation of children and support labor laws which protect children's rights.

27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
32.
33.
34.
35.
36.
37.
38.
39.
40.
41.
42.
43.
44.

1. **SECTION XII. OUR FAMILY FARM ORGANIZATION**

2.

3. North Dakota Farmers Union, guided by the principles of cooperation, legislation and education, is an
4. organization committed to the prosperity of family farms and rural communities. Toward that end, North
5. Dakota Farmers Union provides educational and informational services, provides a channel through which
6. the right to petition government may be exercised, and assists its members in the procurement of products
7. and services necessary for the operation of their farm businesses.

8.

9. **1. FARMERS UNION ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE**

10. A. To remain a strong and effective organization of rural people, local and county officers should work
11. harder to provide:

12.

13. (1) Quality local and county meetings, including the encouragement and formation of community
14. locals.

15.

16. (2) Regular planning meetings of their officers to effectively implement the many programs of our
17. organization.

18.

19. (3) An opportunity for greater youth and young adult participation in local meetings and other
20. Farmers Union functions.

21.

22. (4) Encouragement to young farm families to attend and to take an active part in the guidance and
23. direction of the local, county, state and national Farmers Union organizations.

24.

25. (5) Notices of meetings to every member and invitations to managers, directors and employees
26. of area cooperatives to attend local meetings and county and state conventions.

27.

28. (6) Information to the North Dakota Union Farmer and area newspapers as to local activities to
29. promote greater interest in North Dakota Farmers Union and its activities.

30.

31. **2. FARMERS UNION EDUCATION PROGRAM**

32. Farmers Union should continue to improve its program of education through:

33.

34. A. Cooperative Education

35. We call upon our organization to continue to be the leader and primary source of cooperative
36. education for our state. Special emphasis should be given to promote understanding of the Rochdale
37. principles of cooperation as well as the structure and operation of co-ops.

38.

39. B. Junior and Senior Youth Education Program

40. The junior and senior youth program goals should be stressed and continued for the education of
41. our young in cooperatives, in government, in agriculture and our organizational objectives. We urge
42. that youth and volunteer leaders attend teacher training and leadership workshops, and continue
43. to teach the grassroots principles of Farmers Union.

44.

1. (1) NDFU should continue to work with NFU to develop a curriculum for both junior and senior
2. classes. The materials should allow for each state to continue to teach the history of Farmers
3. Union and agriculture in the state.

4.
5. (2) We urge the Board of Governors and the North Dakota Farmers Union Board of Directors to
6. research an additional camp location that would eliminate long travel times.

7.
8. C. Young Adult Education and Farmers Union Collegiate Chapters

9. The young adult education program including the NDFU Alumni Association and Farmers Union
10. Collegiate Chapters should be continued and increased. We urge more activities for young adults
11. to inform them on involvements in Farmers Union.

12.
13. D. Adult Education

14. Recognizing education of our membership as one of the basic purposes of our organization, we
15. encourage a continuing effort in developing resource materials for use by our local organizations
16. in conducting a vigorous adult education program paralleling our youth program. Such materials
17. should be accompanied by subject outlines on topics of current interest, concern and importance
18. to our membership.

19.
20. County officers are encouraged to fully utilize the services of NDFU Member Relations Specialists
21. to assist in accomplishing the objectives of our adult education program.

22.
23. E. Consumer Education

24. Our organization should continue consumer education programs illustrating the role of agriculture
25. in the economy, the farm portion of the USDA budget, the condition of family farmers and the actual
26. relationship between the price of food and fiber on the farm and the product on the shelf.

27.
28. (1) Our organization should continue consumer education programs illustrating the role of agricul-
29. ture in the economy, the farm portion of the USDA budget, the condition of family farmers and
30. the actual relationship between the price of food and fiber on the farm and the product on the
31. shelf.

32.
33. (2) We believe in educating all ages on the importance of family farmers through a marketing
34. program, innovative education tools, food delivery systems and additional programs that help
35. family farmers capture more of the food dollar.

36.
37. F. Farmers Union Insurance Education

38. We charge Farmers Union Insurances with the responsibility of educating agents and policyholders
39. with regard to coverages of their policies. The Union Farmer should be a major vehicle for such
40. insurance education.

41.
42. G. Young Couples' Seminars

43. We urge closer coordination of young farm couples' seminars between our organization, our affiliated
44. cooperatives and other rural organizations.

1. H. Bus Tours
2. Educational tours through the use of NDFU buses for cooperative and legislative purposes should
3. be expanded through coordinated efforts of the county and state organizations.
- 4.
5. I. Young Producer Meetings
6. We urge continuation and expansion of county-sponsored young producer meetings, fully utilizing
7. their state staff and Member Relations Specialists for these programs. Such educational programs
8. should address the present problems of farming, including marketing and financial planning.
- 9.
10. J. Beginning Farmer Outreach
11. We call upon North Dakota Farmers Union to develop and implement educational workshops for
12. beginning and prospective farmers. Such workshops should be held at selected locations around
13. the state and should include information on various state and federal programs – FSA, BND loans,
14. farm diversification grants, financial analysis and record keeping and other resources designed to
15. put a new generation on the land.
- 16.
17. K. Personal Contact
18. We urge county and local organizations to develop educational programs of personal contact and
19. recruitment.
- 20.
21. L. We urge NDFU to continue to implement a program recognizing outstanding dedication and efforts
22. in Farmers Union and the cooperative movement.
- 23.
24. M. We urge the county organizations, where financially feasible, to send nonvoting members to par-
25. ticipate in the educational activities at the state and national convention.
- 26.
27. N. We encourage NDFU to organize state disaster relief to aid rural communities hit by natural disasters.
- 28.
29. **3. MEMBER AND PEOPLE EXCHANGE**
30. We support rural-urban, national, international and farm-urban congressional exchange programs. We
31. urge North Dakota Farmers Union to continue their support and interest in exchanges among youth
32. and members and to help start such a program for locals and counties.
- 33.
34. **4. FARMERS UNION EDUCATION AIDS**
35. A. We recommend that local and regional cooperatives and state and county Farmers Union organi-
36. zations cooperate in providing college scholarships and summer employment for Farmers Union
37. youth, especially to those who plan a future in family farm agriculture and cooperatives.
- 38.
39. B. We encourage participation of cooperatives in training programs for young people, employees and
40. directors.
- 41.
42. **5. FARMER-LABOR-CONSUMER RELATIONS**
43. We strongly encourage Farmers Union state officers and directors to meet with officers, directors
44. and leaders of North Dakota labor unions; educational, church and consumer groups; and other farm

1. organizations to explore areas where joint study and action would be most productive for all of the
2. memberships of these organizations.
- 3.

4. **6. FARMERS UNION MEMBERSHIP AND PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS**

5. The purpose of membership communications and public communications, including publicity, publica-
6. tions, broadcast media and other communication vehicles, should achieve the following:

- 7.
8. A. To keep membership informed on Farmers Union policies, activities and all farm and public interest
9. issues.
- 10.
11. B. To promote a better understanding of the purposes and objectives of Farmers Union cooperatives,
12. to increase patronage and loyalty and to create closer relationships between Farmers Union and
13. its affiliated cooperatives on all levels.
- 14.
15. C. To promote public understanding and public acceptance of Farmers Union principles and
16. objectives.
- 17.

18. It is imperative that NDFU and county Farmers Union organizations seek a higher visibility of their
19. activities through television and radio advertising and relate those activities to cooperative support.

- 20.
21. D. We, in cooperation with NFU and other state organizations, shall use appropriate technology, such
22. as the internet, to effectively inform consumers and policymakers about educational issues such as
23. family farms, food safety, farmer's share of the food dollar, etc. We support NFU's web site, www.
24. nfu.org, and the NDFU web site, www.ndfu.org, and encourage advertising it to our urban neighbors
25. and Congress.
- 26.

27. **7. FARMERS UNION TIES**

28. A. The affiliation of Farmers Union cooperatives and Farmers Union Insurances to the Farmers Ed-
29. ucational and Cooperative Union of America are paramount to any other affiliation because such
30. activities have been built by Farmers Union people as component parts of an organization of, by
31. and for family farmers. Affiliations of Farmers Union cooperative activities with other cooperatives
32. and associations, federations and joint undertakings are highly desirable and are recommended if
33. they do not jeopardize ties with Farmers Union or harm any other Farmers Union cooperative.
- 34.
35. B. We must take a leadership role in working with other farm organizations and agriculture coalitions
36. from the local to the national level in an effort to determine common goals so that we might speak with
37. a unified voice for the American farmer. We ask similar leadership by our organization in developing
38. better working relationships with global farm and cooperative organizations. We urge consideration
39. of sponsorship of regional meetings at which farmers, business persons and other rural leaders can
40. discuss agricultural policy directions and the future of rural America.
- 41.

42. **8. POLITICAL EDUCATION AND ACTION**

43. A. We believe that neither the local, county, state nor national Farmers Union organizations, nor any of
44. their affiliates, should become involved in the endorsement of political candidates. We encourage

1. Farmers Union to facilitate candidate forums, throughout the state, in order to better inform our
2. members on a candidate's particular positions relating to Farmers Union's interests.
- 3.
4. B. We recommend NDFU publish a scorecard for legislators, including their voting record and how
5. often they voted with NDFU on issues important to the organization.
- 6.
7. C. Effective action by members requires direct participation as individuals in selection of candidates
8. in primary elections, caucuses and conventions; promoting and taking part in discussions of the is-
9. sues and the stands of the candidates on the issues; and exerting personal influence and extending
10. financial aid, where able; and voting for candidates who support the Farmers Union program.
- 11.
12. D. We shall continue to encourage and assist its members in lobbying elected and appointed officials
13. to support Farmers Union positions.
- 14.

15. **9. MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES**

16. A. Farmers Union members should participate in and accept leadership responsibilities for civic, service
17. and economic organizations in trade center areas in which they live. Farmers Union organizations
18. should seek opportunities to appear before town and city audiences to discuss mutual problems.
19. Town and city people within the trade area should be invited by members to patronize Farmers
20. Union affiliated service, supply and credit union cooperatives.
- 21.
22. B. Members should advocate, encourage and support neighbors to run for elected positions on their
23. co-op boards.
- 24.

25. **10. WORLD FARMERS ORGANIZATION**

26. A. Membership of National Farmers Union in the World Farmers Organization should be continued.
- 27.

28. **11. NATIONAL FARMERS UNION**

29. A. The National Farmers Union convention should be held within an organized Farmers Union state
30. no later than the first week of March.
- 31.
32. B. In order to be fully representative of operating farmers, we believe that the national program drafting
33. committee members must be working farmers. Salaried state or national Farmers Union officials
34. should not be eligible to serve on this and other national convention committees.
- 35.
36. C. We encourage National Farmers Union to sponsor a farm-urban congressional exchange to bring
37. the respective congressmen to the city and farm to see and feel the problems first-hand. Commu-
38. nication and understanding are extremely important.
- 39.
40. D. Since the majority of people in this country are not connected to farming directly or indirectly, we
41. encourage National Farmers Union to prepare an educational program about farming to be taught
42. in every school throughout the United States.
- 43.
44. E. Continue to support the Beginning Farmer Institute.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS & ABBREVIATIONS

ACA – Affordable Care Act, is the comprehensive health care reform law enacted in March 2010. The primary goals are to make high-quality, affordable health insurance available to more people; expand the Medicaid program; and support innovative medical care delivery methods designed to lower the costs of health care.

ACP – Agricultural Conservation Program

AFTA – Australian Free Trade Agreement

Animal Unit – Standard measure based on feed requirements, used to combine various classes of livestock according to size, weight, age and use.

APHIS – Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

APUC – Agricultural Products Utilization Commission

Aquaculture – The production of aquatic plants or animals in a controlled environment such as ponds, raceways, tanks or cages for all or parts of their life cycle.

BND – Bank of North Dakota

BSE – Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (mad cow disease)

CAFE – Corporate Average Fuel Economy standards

CAFO – Confined Animal Feeding Operation

Capper-Volstead Act – The law which allows producers to organize into cooperatives without violating antitrust laws.

Carbon Sequestration – The storage of carbon from the atmosphere in soil organic matter through agricultural practices such as no-till farming.

CCC – Commodity Credit Corporation of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; created to stabilize, support and protect farm income and prices through loans, purchases, payments and other operations

Checkoff Program – Research and promotion programs authorized by law and financed by assessments; the programs are paid for by specified industry members such as producers, importers and handlers.

CHIP – Children's Health Insurance Program

Clawback Provisions – Requiring companies to repay economic development incentives if they do not follow through on promises, or if they relocate after incentives expire.

Clean Power Plan – A policy aimed at combating global warming by setting a national limit on carbon pollution produced from power plants. It was first proposed by EPA in June 2014. The final version of the plan was unveiled by President Obama in August 2015.

COOL – Country-of-origin labeling

Cooperative Principles –

- Open and voluntary membership
- Democratic member control
- Members' economic participation
- Autonomy and independence
- Education, training, and information
- Cooperation among cooperatives
- Concern for community

Countercyclical – A farm program system of government payments that would activate when market prices were low and deactivate when market prices recovered.

CPR – Cardiopulmonary resuscitation

CSP – Conservation Stewardship Program

DIAP – Dairy Import Assessment Program

DEA – Drug Enforcement Agency

DR-CAFTA – Dominican Republic - Central American Free Trade Agreement

ELAP – Emergency Assistance for Livestock, Honey Bees and Farm-raised Fish Program

EPA – Environmental Protection Agency

EQIP – Environmental Quality Incentives Program

ETBE – Ethyl tertiary butyl ether, a renewable ether which uses ethanol as a feedstock.

Extension – NDSU Extension Service, established in 1914, which extends education to North Dakota residents through its land-grant university in the form of lectures, institutes, tours and demonstrations.

FCIC – Federal Crop Insurance Corporation

FCS – The Farm Credit System is made up of cooperatively-owned financial institutions that finance farm and farm-related mortgages and operating loans.

FSA – Farm Service Agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

GMOs – Genetically Modified Organism or Genetic Engineering (GMOs) are organisms produced from using techniques that alter the molecular or cell biology by means that are not possible under natural conditions or processes; genetic modification or genetic engineering includes recombinant DNA, cell fusion, micro and macro-encapsulation, gene deletion and doubling, introducing a foreign gene and gene repositioning; it does not include crop breeding, conjugation, fermentation and hybridization.

GIPSA – Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration

HMO – Health maintenance organization

Marketing Loan – A loan that provides income for operating and living expenses until a producer sells their crop. The loan provides a greater opportunity for producers to market their crop at a higher price. Loan rate caps are specified in law. Repayment provisions apply when market prices drop below loan rates.

MFN – Most Favored Nations status gives recipient nations preferential trading relationship with U.S.

MPC – Milk protein concentrate

MTBE – Methyl tertiary butyl ether, a petroleum-based additive to gasoline to increase octane; MTBE has been discovered in many municipal water systems and is a known carcinogen.

NAFTA – North American Free Trade Agreement; an international trade agreement linking Mexico, the United States and Canada; enacted in November of 1993.

NASS – National Agricultural Statistics Service

NDSU – North Dakota State University

NFU – National Farmers Union

NDGF – North Dakota Game and Fish Department

NOP – National Organic Program

NOSB – National Organic Standards Board

Notch Years – Approximately 1917 through 1921

NRCS – Natural Resources Conservation Service of USDA

PAC – Political Action Committee

Parity – Equality in the present purchasing power of a unit of a product compared with its purchasing power during the period 1910-14.

Pugh Clause – If at the end of the primary term of a lease a portion or portions of the land herein leased is pooled or unitized with other land so as to form a pooled unit or units, operations on or production from such unit or units will maintain this lease in force only as to land included in such unit or units.

PSC – Public Service Commission

REC – Rural electric cooperative

Retail Wheeling – Allowing utilities to abandon current service territories and sell power in an open market to the highest bidder.

RFS – Renewable Fuel Standard

RMA – Risk Management Agency

Rochdale Principles – A set of ideals for the operation of cooperatives that was established by the Rochdale Society of Equitable Pioneers in Rochdale, England, in 1844, and have formed the basis for the principles on which cooperatives around the world operate today.

RTC – Rural telephone cooperative

RUS – Rural Utilities Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

SBARE – State Board of Agricultural Research and Education

SMV – Slow moving vehicle

State Technical Committee – Advisory board to NRCS that assists in developing technical guidelines necessary to imple-

ment conservation programs. Although the Committee has no implementation or enforcement authority, USDA gives strong consideration to the Committee's recommendations. The Committee is composed of representatives from soil, water, air, plant, wetland, and wildlife interests.

Staggers Deregulation Act – Law which regulates the rail industry.

Sustainable – Relating to or being a method of harvesting or using a resource so that the resource is not depleted or permanently damaged.

T-Yield – Transition yield based on a 10-year historical county average yield.

Taft-Hartley Act – A federal law that restricts the activities and power of labor unions.

Triffid Flax – In September 2009 it was reported that Canadian flax exports had been contaminated by a de-registered genetically modified variety, known as Triffid. Triffid had food and feed safety approval in both Canada and the U.S. However, Canadian growers and the Flax Council of Canada had raised concerns about the marketability of this variety in Europe. The variety was subsequently de-registered in 2001 and never grown commercially in Canada or the U.S. All stores of the seed were purportedly crushed or destroyed. Despite these precautions flax exports were found to contain traces of this transgenic flax variety which resulted in problems for Canada's flax growers and the industry at large, who export 70% of their product to Europe. The EU currently has a zero tolerance policy regarding GMOs.

Territorial Integrity Act – North Dakota legislation that prevents investor-owned utility (IOU) companies from interfering with the RECs mission of serving rural areas.

USDA – United States Department of Agriculture

USFRA – United States Farmers and Ranchers Alliance

USFWS – U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Virgin Ground – Ground that has not been cultivated before.

Vomitoxin – A mycotoxin that may be produced in wheat and barley grain infected by Fusarium head blight or scab.

WRP – Wetlands Reserve Program

WSI – North Dakota Workforce Safety and Insurance

WTO – World Trade Organization; body created to handle disputes between signator nations to the Uruguay Round of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.

INDEX

AFTA (Australian Free Trade Agreement)	21
Agriculture	
Research	11-14, 15, 26, 35, 41, 46, 51-57, 70-71, 77-78
Value-Added	5, 26
Air Transportation/Airports	67
Air Quality	53
Regional Haze	53
Amtrak	66
Animal	
Health	7, 26, 27
Disease Traceability	27
Rights	23
Treatment of	23
Antitrust Policy	7, 19, 20, 61, 65
APHIS (Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service)	19, 27
APUC (Agricultural Products Utilization Commission)	11
Aquaculture	11
Aquatic Nuisance Species (ANS)	50
Bad Actor Legislation	25
Bank of North Dakota	11, 22, 30, 35, 84
Base Acres	3
Beef	12, 17, 19, 23, 26
Checkoff	12-13
Quality Assurance Program	26
Bees	12, 23, 66
Beginning Farmers	9, 28, 30, 41, 44, 61-62, 84, 86
Biodiesel	55, 57
Biofuel	55, 57
Biotechnology	14, 70
Bioterrorism	20, 26
Blender Pumps	56
Blue Cross Blue Shield	77
Brand Inspection	10
BSE (Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy)	26
CAFO (Confined Animal Feeding Operation)	24, 26
Capper Volstead Act	32
Carbon Sequestration	6
Canadian Free Trade Agreement	21
Checkoffs	12-13, 34
Chemical	
Applicators	12
Companies	19, 52
Containers	52, 53
Harmonization	19
Standards	52
Storage/Use Regulations	53
Toxic	53, 77
Use in Hydraulic Fracturing	51
CHIP (Children's Health Insurance Program)	77
CHS, Inc.	34, 57
Clean Power Plan	58
Coal	41, 51-53, 56-57
CoBank	35
College	
Land Grant	14, 70
Scholarships	84
Student Loans	75
Commodity	
Checkoffs	12, 13
Credit Corporation	4
Exchanges	15
Food Distribution	79
Labeling	7, 16-17, 26-27
Marketing	3-4, 14-16, 19, 22, 54, 79, 83-84
Research	12-16
Condemnation (See Eminent Domain)	
Congress	6, 10, 15-19, 21-22, 29, 53, 56, 60-61, 71-72, 76-80, 84
Conservation (See also Natural Resources, Environment)	
Cross-Compliance	9
Energy	54-59
Funding	43-44
General	4, 43-44, 59
Planning	43
Reserve Program (CRP)	3, 6, 11, 23, 44-45
Stewardship Program (CSP)	46
Soil	44
Consumers	2, 6, 12, 16-18, 20, 22, 26, 52, 57-58, 76, 84-85
COOL (Country-of-Origin Labeling)	16, 17, 27
Cooperative	22, 28, 30-37, 54, 56-58, 82-84
Affiliated & Nonaffiliated	33-35, 83, 85-86

Capper-Volstead Act	32
Check-offs	34-35
Communications	34, 85
Concentration	56
Credit System	29
Delegates	33
Directors	33-35, 82
Education	32, 34-36, 74, 82-84
Electric	57-58
Health	77
Managers	33, 35, 82
Merger	32, 35-36, 58
Patronage	33, 83, 85
Petroleum	63
Principles	32-34, 36
Purchase	32
Regional	32-34, 84
Resolutions	34
Rights of Members	29, 32-33
QUINTIN Burdick Center for Cooperatives	36
Telephone	58, 68
Value-Added	28, 32, 35
Wholesale Pricing Power	34
Corporate/Corporations	4, 71
Control	37
Divestiture	37
Farming	34, 39
Integration	8, 70
Mergers	13, 35-36, 61
Subsidy	64
Corps of Engineers	41-42, 46-48, 50
Cost of Production	4, 8, 18, 20
County	11, 31, 40, 45, 62, 64, 82-84
Farmers Union	35, 84-85
Roads	64, 66
Zoning	24, 40
CPR (Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation)	77
Credit (See also Loans)	29-30, 39, 75
Carbon	6
Control	60
Farm	28-30
Sales	16
Tax	63
Unions	35, 86
Crop	45, 48-49
Cover	8, 41, 45
Diseases/Pests	8, 13
Insurance	3, 6, 8-10, 62
Pulse	8, 14
Reporting Service	14
Cultural Resource Inventories	41
Currency Exchange Rate	20
Custom Operators	68
Dairy	11, 16-17, 22, 79
Diagnostic Team	22
Financing	22
Processing	22
Dakota Gasification Plant	56
Dakota Pride Cooperative	35
Damage Compensation Law	39
Dams	42, 48, 58
Day Care	78
Decoupling	3, 19
Defensive Driving	68
Deficiency Payments	45
Department of Agriculture (See also USDA)	
North Dakota	10-11, 52
United States	4, 5-7, 9-11, 13-14, 16, 19, 23, 27, 29, 79, 83
Developing Nations	4, 18
Devils Lake	48
Displaced Homemaker Program	79
DR-CAFTA (Dominican Republic-Central American Free Trade Agreement)	21
Drilling	39, 51
Drones	70
Drug Trafficking	79
Easements	40, 46, 49-50
Economic Development	12, 28, 31, 34, 64
Economic Policies	60-69
Education	2, 12, 16, 31, 44, 46, 52, 56, 59-60, 63, 73-76, 79, 82
Adult/Farm Business Management	31, 83
Board of Higher	11
Consumer	2, 6, 12, 16, 83-85
Cooperative	32, 34-36, 74, 80, 83-84

Farmers Union & Farmers Union Insurance	82-84	Taxes	43, 61-64, 78
Political	85-86	Fertilizer	5, 8, 52, 67
Producer	27, 84	Fire Coverage	9
Public	60, 66, 73-74, 85	Flag Etiquette	80
Educational Funds	32, 34-35	Food	
Elections	73, 86	Dollar	5, 83, 85
Electricity	55, 58	Labeling	7, 16-17, 26
Retail Wheeling	58	Policy	2, 7
Elevator	5, 16, 35, 65-66, 71	Prices	83
Bankruptcy	14	Programs	79
Indemnity Fund	16	Reserves	4-5, 20
ND Mill & Elevator	11, 55	Safety	6-7, 15-16, 70, 85
Embargoes	19	Security	2, 4-5, 14, 18-20
ELAP (Emergency Assistance for Livestock, Honey Bees, and Farm-raised Fish Program)	23	World Food Day	81
Emergency Services	43, 60, 63, 65, 76	Foreign	
Eminent Domain	40-41, 49	Aid	81
End-Use Certificate	18	Policy	81
Endangered Species	51	Fracturing, Hydraulic	51
Energy	54-59	Freedoms	72
Biodiesel	55, 57	Freight Rates	65-66
Biofuel	55, 57	Fuel Storage	53
Coal	52, 56-57	Gambling	80
Companies	38-39, 55	Garrison Diversion	48
Concentration	54, 56	GIPSA (Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration)	8
Conservation	54, 59	GMO (Genetically Modified Organism)	9, 17, 70-71
Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE)	59	Government Surveillance	72
Development	38-39, 52, 54-57	Grain	
Efficiency	57	Grading/Inspection	8, 15
Electric	54-55, 57-59	Indemnity Fund	16
Ethanol	55-56	Transportation	67, 79
Oil	52, 54, 57	Grasshoppers	11
Renewable	4, 20, 52, 54-55, 59	Grazing	6, 23, 41, 45, 50
Reserve	20, 54	Groundwater Surveys	46
Solar	55, 57	Health	
Source	54, 55, 57	Animal	7, 26-27
Transmission	38, 54, 56-59	Department of, North Dakota	24, 53
Wind	39, 55	Environmental	6
Environment (See also Conservation, Natural Resources)	4, 6, 18, 20, 23-25, 41, 45, 49, 50-54, 57, 70	Global	18
EPA (Environmental Protection Agency)	18, 24, 56-58	Group	76
EQIP (Environmental Quality Incentives Program)	45-46	Public	20, 24, 75-77
Equal Employment Opportunity Act	79	Soil	44
Environmental Treaties	53	Hemp	6
Estate Planning	41, 62-63	Highways	11, 40, 52, 60, 62-68
Ethanol	55-56	HMOs (Health Maintenance Organizations)	77
Ethics, Code of	72	Home Health Care	76
Exchange Programs	84	Hospice	77
Exports/Exporting	4-5, 14, 18, 20-21	Hospitals	75, 77
Extension Service	13	Hunter Safety	50
Family Farm Definition	2	Hunting	41, 49-50
Farm		Hydroelectric Power	48, 54
Bill	2-5	Immigration	72
Credit Administration	29	Imports	4-5, 10, 14, 19-20, 22, 26, 53-55, 71
Credit Review Board	30	Initiated Measures	73
Credit System (FCS)	29	Insurance	
Debt Reorganization	30	Storable Commodities	5, 14
Home Exemption	63	Companies	68-69
Income	1-2, 6, 14, 19, 28, 35, 54-55, 57, 60-63, 70	Crop	3, 6, 8-10, 62
Machinery	62	Education	83
Market Roads	64	Farmers Union	33, 83, 85
Ownership	1, 28-29, 37-40	Health	61, 76-77
Program	2-3, 6, 9-10, 19, 29-30, 43	Liability	26, 68
Service Agency (FSA)	10, 28-29, 43	No-Fault	68
Farmers Union		Prevented Planting	9
Alumni Association	83	Vehicle	68
Beginning Farmer Institute	84, 86	Insects	11, 17, 45
Collegiate Chapters	83	Integration (Vertical and Horizontal)	8, 37, 70
Cooperatives	33-36, 54, 82-86	Interstate Banking	61
County Organizations	35, 82-85	Irrigation	45, 54
Dues Checkoff	13, 34-35	Joint Ventures	32, 36, 83, 85
Educational Funds	32, 34, 35	Labeling (See Also Food)	
Insurance	33, 83, 85	Country-of-Origin	16-17, 27
Locals	82-84	Commodity	16-17
Member Education	82-85	Low Fat	17
Membership	82-86	Milk	17
National	13, 36, 77, 82-86	Nutrition	17
Union Farmer	82-83	Organic	7, 17
Youth	34, 82-84	Labor	3, 18, 62, 79, 81, 84
Federal		Land	
Ag Policy	2, 10	Grant Colleges	14, 70
Budgets	60	Owner Rights	38-42, 50-52
Financing Bank	58	Ownership	37-40, 49
Fiscal Policy	60		
Grain Inspection	8, 15		

Transfer	28, 41-42	Legislature	5, 11-13, 30, 46, 50-52, 58, 62-64, 68, 71-73, 75-79
Use	40-41, 43, 48, 64	Mediation Service	29, 39, 48
Landowner-Sportsman Council	50	Mill & Elevator	11, 55
Leafy Spurge	11	Public Service Commission (PSC)	51, 58
Livestock	7, 15-16, 18-19, 22-27, 49	State University	11, 14, 75
Brand Inspection	10	Water Commission	47
Disease	10, 26-27	Northern Crops Institute	13
ELAP	23	NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service)	10, 41, 43, 45-47
Grazing	6, 23, 41, 45, 50	Nuclear Weapons	81
Health	26	Nuisance Law	26
Indemnity Program (LIP)	23	Nursing Homes	76
Non-Traditional	11	Nutrition	2, 17-18, 79
Producers	4, 9, 22-26, 41, 45	Oil	40, 43, 51-53, 63-64
Production	3, 7-8, 11, 22-26	Impacted Schools	75
Load Limits	66	Organic	7, 9, 11, 17, 45, 71
Loans (See also Credit)		Pacific Northwest	67
Bank of North Dakota	11, 22, 30, 35, 84	Patents	14, 19, 79-71
Beginning Farmer	9, 28, 30, 84	Payment	
Cooperative	28, 35	Deferred	16
Federal	60-61	Deficiency	45
FSA (Farm Service Agency)	28-29, 84	Disaster	3
Marketing	3-4	Green	6
Mortgage	61	Limit	3, 29
Operating	28-30	Royalty	40
Programs	28-29, 58	Storage	5
Real Estate	28, 30	Pesticides (See also Chemicals)	17, 52
Restructuring	29-30	Pipelines	38-39, 41, 51
Risk Management	10	Politics/Political	10, 12-13, 18, 70, 85
Rural Electric	57	Campaigns	73
Student	75	Subdivisions	19-20, 24, 40, 64, 68
Lobbying	12-13, 76-77, 86	Ports	67
Low Income	57, 78-79	Postal Service	80
Malpractice	69	Prairie Pothole Region	9, 49
Mandatory Price Reporting	23	Pre-Pay Sales	16
Manure		Pride of Dakota	11
Application	7, 25	Project Safe Send	52
Disposal/Handling	25	Property	25, 33, 39, 41, 46-47, 49-50, 55, 62, 64, 74
Liquid	25	Abuse	80
Management	23-25	Rights	39, 50-51
Storage	25	Taxes	37, 50, 58, 60, 63-64, 74
Marijuana	77-78	Protein Test	15
Market		Public	
Competition	4, 19-20, 70	Assistance	76, 79
Concentration	7-8, 23, 56	Education	73
Development	12	Health	20, 24, 75-77
Marketing Agency	4	Power	54
Medicaid/Medicare	76, 78-79	Safety	20, 26, 44, 68
Meat	16-17, 79	Service Commission (PSC)	51
Packing/Packers/Processors	8, 17, 23, 26, 37	Radon Testing	77
Mental Health	80	Railroads	11, 65-66, 68
Military	81	Rangeland	46
Milk	17, 22, 79	Real Seal	17
Mineral Rights	39-40, 66	Reclamation	38, 51
Severed	39-40	Recycling	52-53
Minimum Wage	17, 79	Refineries	54-55
Mississippi River (Upper)	67	Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS)	56
Missouri River	47-48	Renewable Fuels	62-63
Mitigation		Research	
Acres	47, 49	Agricultural	11-14, 46, 70
Archaeological	41	Crop Disease	13-14
Wetland Bank	47	Energy	52-57
Wildlife	48-49	Medical	77
Monetary Policy	60-61	Reserves	
Interstate Banking	61	Food	4-5, 20
Mortgage Law Exemption	61	Petroleum	54
Privacy Rights	61	Energy	20, 54
Multiemployer Pension Reform Act	79	Rights	
National Farmers Union (NFU)	6, 13, 30, 36, 77, 82-85	Animal	23
Natural Resources (See also Conservation, Environment)	1, 28, 38-39, 43-53	Borrower	29
Trust Fund	44	Children	81
Navigation	48, 54, 67	Cooperative Members	32-33
News Media	73	Equal	72
Nominating Committees	33	Human	81
North Dakota		Labor	18, 79
Bank of	11, 22, 30, 35, 84	Landowner	38-39, 51-52, 66
Beef Commission	13	Mineral	39-40, 66
Department of Agriculture	10-11, 52	Privacy	61
Game and Fish Department	49-50	Producer	8-10, 12, 26, 32, 39, 41, 70
Governor	13, 69	Property	51
Health Department	24-25, 53	To Farm	72
Heritage Center	80	RMA (Risk Management Agency)	8-10, 15
Industrial Commission	30, 49, 51	Roads	50, 63-66, 68
Legacy Fund	60		

Rochdale Principles	33, 82	Townships	24, 40, 50, 63-65
Royalties	14, 40, 55, 71	Trade	
Rural		Agreements	4-5, 19, 21
Development	28-31	Biotechnology	70
Electric Cooperatives	57-58	Carbon Emission	6
Health Care	75-77	Commodity Exchanges	15
Telephone Cooperatives	58, 68	Deficit	21
Water	47	Farm Policy	4
RUS (Rural Utility Service)	35, 58	Contracts	5, 15
St. Lawrence Seaway	67	Grain	4, 14, 18
Saltwater Disposal	38, 51	International	4-5, 14, 18-19
Sanitation	20	Laws	19, 20, 26
SBARE (State Board of Agricultural Research & Education)	11	Negotiation	19, 78
School Lunch	79	Practices	5
Secretary of Agriculture	3-4, 13, 71	Subsidies	18-20
Seismographing	51	Trade Promotion Authority	19
Senior Citizens(Elderly)	78-79	WTO (World Trade Organization)	19
Small Business	57, 60, 62	Trafficking	79
Smoking	77	Transmission Lines/Facilities	38, 54, 58-59
SMV (Slow Moving Vehicle)	64	Transportation	
Social Security	50, 78	Air	67
Sodbuster	43	Bus	67, 74
Soil	9, 25, 52	Rail	11, 64-65
Conservation	44	Safety	64, 66-67
Erosion	45	Truck	63, 65
Highly Erodible	43	Water	67
Salinity	46, 63	Tree	46
Stewardship	43-44	Trespass(ing)	50, 71, 80
Surveys	46, 63	Tribal Monitor	41
Testing	7	Triffid	71
Solar Energy	57	UAV (Unmanned Aerial Vehicle)	70
Staggers Deregulation Act	65	Union Farmer	82-83
Strip Mining	51	United Nations	14, 81
Subsidies	52	USDA (United States Dept. of Ag.)	4, 7, 10, 13, 14, 16, 19, 23, 27, 29, 32, 83
Agricultural	21	Committees	10
Corporate	64	Commodity Distribution	79
Crop Insurance	8, 9	Reorganization	10
Export	20	Reporting Service	14
Taxpayer	31	USFRA (United States Farmers and Ranchers Alliance)	13
Trade Distorting	18, 19	USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service)	10, 49-50
Sugar	5	USMCA (United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement)	21
Surface Owner	38-40	Usury Laws	61
Sustainable Agriculture	6, 14, 70	Vandalism	80
Swampbuster	43-46	Veterans	77
Taft-Hartley Act	79	Vomitoxin	15
Targeting	1-3, 45, 62	Voting Record	86
Tariffs	18-20	Waste	45, 53, 55-58
Taxes	43, 59-63, 67, 69-70, 72	Water	7, 24-25, 38, 54, 60, 67, 77
Abatements	64	Commission	47
Capital Gains	61	Development	47-49
Coal Severance/Coal Conversion	52	Flood	48
Corporate	60	Hydroelectric	48, 54
Credits	63	Management	45-48
Estate	62	Quality	25, 44-45
Excise	56, 64	Rural	47
Farm Home Exemption	63	Storage Fees	48
Federal	41, 61-63	Surveys	46
Flat	62	Transportation	67
Gasoline (gas)	63-64, 75	Weeds	7-8, 10-11, 41, 45, 50, 53, 66
Highway	62-63	Wetland	42-43, 45-49
Infrastructure	20, 64	Mitigation Bank	47
Incentives	41, 62-63	Reserve Program (WRP)	45
Income	35, 60-63	Wilderness Designation	46
In-lieu-of	37, 50, 58, 62, 64	Wildlife	10-11, 40, 45, 48-50, 59
Loss Farming	61	American Fisheries Society	50
Machinery/Equipment	62	Bounty Program	49
Mineral	64	Depredation	49
Off-Road	62	Easements	49-50
Oil & Gas Production	43, 63-64	Mitigation	48-49
Oil Extraction	43, 63	Wind	39, 55
Property	37, 50, 58, 60, 62-64, 74	Work Incentive Program	79
Real Estate	40, 63	World Farmers Organization	86
Sales/Sales Tax Exemption	61-63	WSI (Workforce Safety and Insurance)	69
Social Security	78	WTO (World Trade Organization)	19
Use	63	Zoning	24, 40, 48, 55, 72
Utility	62		
Value-Added	61		
Workers Compensation	33		
Taxpayers	2, 5, 25, 31, 60		
Telephone	58, 67-68		
Term Limitations	73		
Territorial Integrity Act	58		
Tobacco	77		